REPORT

ON

WARDS, ENCUMBERED AND TRUST ESTATES

IN THE

PROVINCE OF BIHAR

FOR THE YEAR

1939-40.

SUPERINTENDENT, GOVERNMENT PRINTING,
BIHAR, PATNA.
1941.

[Price Re. 1 only.]

REPORT

ON

WARDS, ENCUMBERED AND TRUST ESTATES

IN THE

PROVINCE OF BIHAR

FOR THE YEAR

1939-40.

SUPERINTENDENT, GOVERNMENT PRINTING,
BIHAR, PATNA.
1941.

Priced Publications of the Government of Bihar can be had from—

IN INDIA.

The Superintendent, Government Printing, Bihar, Gulzarbagh, P. O.

(1) MESSRS. THACKER SPINK & Co., Calcutta.

(2) MESSRS. W. NEWMAN & Co., Calcutta.

(3) MESSRS. S. K. LAHIRI & Co., College Street, Calcutta.

(4) MESSRS. R. CAMBRAY & Co., 6 and 8-2, Hastings Street, Calcutta.

(5) MESSRS. THOMPSON & Co., Madras

(6) MESSRS. D. B. TARAPOREVALA SONS & Co., 103, Meadow Street, Fort, Post Box No 18, Bombay.

(7) MESSRS. M. C. SIRKAR & SONS, 75, Harrison Road, Calcutta.

(8) PROPRIETOR OF THE NEWAL KISHORE PRESS, Lucknow.

(9) MESSRS. M. N. BURMAN & Co., Bankipore

(10) BABU RAM DAYAL AGARWALA, 184, Katra Road, Allahabad.

(11) THE STANDARD LITERATURE CO., LTD., 13-1, Old Court House Street, Calcutta.

(12) MANAGER OF THE INDIAN SCHOOL SUPPLY DEPOT, 309, Bow Bazar Street, Calcutta

(13) MESSRS. BUTTERWORTH & CO., LTD., 6, Hastings Street, Calcutta.

(14) MESSRS. RAM KRISHNA & SONS, Anarkali Street, Lahore.

(15) THE OXFORD BOOK AND STATIONERY COMPANY, Delhi.

(16) MESSRS. DAS BROTHERS, Nouzerkatra, Patna City.

(17) THE BOOK COMPANY, 4/4(a), College Square, Calcutta.

(18) MR. K. L. MATHUR, Guzri, Patna City.

(19) MESSRS. RAGHUNATH PRASAD & SONS, Patna City.

(20) THE GRANTHA MANDIR, Cuttack.

(21) BABU MADAN MOHAN SINGH, PROPRIETOR, MESSRS. R. P. SINHA & BROTHERS, Guzri, Patna City

(22) MESSRS. SAMPSON WILLIAM & Co., 127-B, The Mall, Cawnpur.

(23) THE NEW BOOK COMPANY, Publishers and Booksellers, 'Kitab Mahal', 192, Hornby Road, Bombay.

(24) PROPRIETOR SARESWATI PUSTAKALAYA, Booksellers and Stationers, Daltonganj, (Palamau).

(25) MESSRS. R. S. TOMARA & SONS, Publishers and Booksellers, Opposite Fort Gate, Delhi.

(26) MESSRS. HEM CHANDRA NEOGY & COMPANY, Bankipore, Patna.

(27) PROPRIETOR, KAMALA BOOK STORE, Bankipore, Patna.

IN EUROPE AND AMERICA.

THE OFFICE OF THE HIGH COMMISSIONER FOR INDIA, Public Department, India House, Aldwych, London, W. C. 2.

[The maximum limit of the number of pages of the report as fixed by Government is 20 pages.]

CONTENTS.

	PAGE
1. Preliminary	1
2. Personnel of the Court of Wards	1
Part I.—General review of management.	
3. Number of estates under management	1
4. Revenue and cess due to Government	1
5. Rent and cess due to superior landlords	1
6. Collection of rent and cess due to estates	2
7. Balance of rent and cess due to estates	3
8. Debts due by estates	3
9. Management charges	4
10. Rate	4
11. Audit of accounts	4
12. Schools, dispensaries and charities	4
13. Works of improvement	5
14. Agricultural experiments and improvements	5
15. Survey and record-of-rights	6
16. Mutations	6
17. Inspection of rent-rolls	6
18. Unauthorized cultivation of unsettled lands...	6
19. Operation of certificate procedure	7
20. Civil suits	7
21. Tours of managers	7
22. Education of wards	7
23. Mineral receipts	8
24. Laws	8
25. Merit of officers	8
26. General	9
Part II.—Estates taken in charge or released.	
27. Introductory	9
Estates taken charge.	
28. Mehdut-un-nisa Begum Estate	9
29. Kazimi Begum Estate	9
30. Bahuria Deo Lochan Kuer Estate	9
31. Beni Madhava Singh Estate	10
32. Husainabad	10
33. Dhamna	10
34. Bairiya	10
35. Chotki Beura	10
36. Mardhan	11
37. Bhounro	11
38. Bonai	11
39. Garhwa (G. P. S.)	11
40. Garhwa (T. S.)	11
41. Garhwa (A. D. S.)	11
42. Chakla	11
43. Bhoramahal	11
44. Prodyot Kumar Tagore Estate of Bengal	11
Estates released.	
45. Chainpur	11-12
46. Pokhraha	12
47. Downing's Estate	12

APPENDICES.

	PAGE.
I. Income of Wards, Encumbered and Trust estates ...	i—v
II. Disbursement of do do.	vi—ix
III. Classification of balances of estates	x—xi
IV. Operation of the certificate procedure	xii—xiii
V. Civil suits	xiv—xv
VI. Return of leases for minerals in force	xvi—xvii
VII. Return of prospecting licenses in force	xviii
VIII. Mutations in tenants' rights	xix—xx
IX. Inspection of rent-rolls	xxi—xxii

BOARD OF REVENUE, BIHAR.

No. 20-704—14.

FROM

RAI BAHADUR A. D. BANARJI, M.A.,
SECRETARY TO THE BOARD OF REVENUE, BIHAR,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BIHAR,
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Dated Patna, the 14th January 1941.

SIR,

Preliminary.

I am directed to submit the following report on the administration of the Wards, Encumbered and Trust estates in Bihar for the year 1939-40.

2. Mr. N. F. Peck, C.B.E., I.C.S., was in charge of the Court of Wards throughout the year.

PART I.—GENERAL REVIEW OF MANAGEMENT.

Number of estates under management.

3. The total number of estates under the management of the Court of Wards at the beginning of the year was 71. Sixteen new estates were brought under management and three were released: the number of estates at the close of the year thus stood at 84. At the close of the preceding year there were portions of nine estates in this province managed by the Court of Wards of Bengal and the United Provinces. During the year charge of portion of one more estate managed by the Court of Wards of Bengal was taken. Statistics in respect of these ten estates managed by the Court of Wards of other provinces have not been furnished as usual.

Revenue and cess due to Government.

4. During the year, a sum of Rs. 10,92,593 was realised out of a demand of Rs. 13,77,967 on account of revenue and cess including arrears. After taking into account advance payments the balance due amounted to Rs. 2,84,475 of which Rs. 1,91,654 was from Khagra estate alone which had no money to pay the demand and whose financial condition is desperate. Husainabad estate in Monghyr could not pay a cess demand of Rs. 21,223 for want of funds. The three estates in Patna could not also pay for want of funds a total sum of Rs. 28,777. The estate of Babu Devendra Prasad Jain in Saran, which has since been released from management, could not pay Rs. 12,658. A sum of Rs. 15,551 remained unpaid on account of five estates in Chota Nagpur.

Rent and cess due to superior landlords.

5. A sum of Rs. 1,56,357 was paid on account of rent and cess due to superior landlords leaving a balance of Rs. 1,54,546 : 50·2 per cent of the total demand was paid against 54·3 per cent in the preceding year. The two new estates in Patna could pay nothing towards their total demand of Rs. 2,413. In Tirhut, the sums outstanding were not heavy in any estate. In the Bhagalpur Division, the Khagra estate failed to pay a sum of Rs. 98,130 for want of funds. Husainabad and Dhamna estates in Monghyr could not pay Rs. 8,018 and Rs. 5,439, respectively. In Chota Nagpur, rent and cess due were paid by all but thirty estates. In Ranchi, a sum of Rs. 28,706 remained unpaid

on account of nineteen estates due to want of funds. For a similar reason the outstanding balance on account of seven estates in Palamau amounted to Rs. 8,620. Bhoramahal estate in Manbhum could not pay Rs. 897. The sums outstanding in other estates were small.

Collection of rent and cess due to estates.

6. The demand for rent and cess due to estates under management during the year, including arrear demand was Rs. 1,16,92,856; out of which Rs. 32,56,540 was collected. The percentage of total collections on current demand was 65·4 against 71·2 in 1938-39; the standard of 100 per cent collection on current demand was attained in 12 estates. In Patna and Tirhut none of the estates attained this standard. In the Jogiara Trust estate the percentage fell from 89·99 in the preceding year to 87·15 in the current year. The low percentage of (57·56) of collections in Bettiah against 72·25 in the previous year was mainly due to the pendency of the rent reduction operations. In Bhagalpur, out of 10 estates, only Mirza Muhammad Hussain Trust estate attained the percentage of 120·1. In Gidhaur, the percentage of current collection on current demand was 25·4 against 24·31 in the preceding year. The slackness of the mufassil collecting staff and the rent reduction operations are said to be mainly responsible for short collections. In Bahadurpur, out of the total demand of Rs. 4,67,111 a sum of Rs. 1,65,403 was collected and Rs. 28,139 remitted. Shakerpura had a total demand of Rs. 6,962, a collection of Rs. 3,945, and a remission of Rs. 482. In Husainabad and Dhamna, the percentage of current collection on current demand was 43·1 and 40·7 respectively; the short collections being mainly due to the Kisan movement and the rent reduction operations. In Cleveland Trust estate, out of a total demand of Rs. 608, a sum of Rs. 321 was collected leaving a balance of Rs. 287. In Khagra, the percentage of current collections on current demand was 16·1 against 26·5 in the preceding year. The percentage of current collections on current demand of Umanath Misra's estate was 37·3 against 32·3 in the preceding year. In Nankar-Sankara estate, the percentage was 59·6 against 65·51 in the previous year. In Hazaribagh, the percentage of total collection on current demand was 57·2 against 74·2 in the previous year: the standard of 90 per cent current collection on current demand was not attained in any of the estates, while the standard of 100 per cent of total collections on current demand was exceeded in only one estate. In Ranchi, the percentage of total collections on current demand was 74·9 against 73·7 in the previous year. The standard of 90 per cent of current collection on current demand was reached in none of the estates, while the standard of 100 per cent of total collection on current demand was exceeded in 5 estates. The low percentage is attributed to (*i*) inclusion of irrecoverable and disputed amounts in the demands of estates recently brought under management, (*ii*) non-acceptance of rent and cesses in respect of tenures proposed to be resumed, (*iii*) temporary absence of tenants to labour districts, (*iv*) partial failure of crops in certain thanas and (*v*) engagement of raiyats in rent reduction camps and postponement of payment of rent in expectation of reduction in their arrear and current dues. In Palamau, the percentage of total collection on current demand was 82·4 against 75·1 in the previous year. The standard of 100 per cent of total collection on current demand was attained in 3 estates and the standard of 90 per cent of current collection on current demand was reached in only one estate. The short collection is attributed to the continued economic depression and low prices of food grains, partial failure of crops, damage of *rabi* crops by hail-storms, withholding of *uttakar* rent by tenants on account of high prices, and the non-realisation of cess from the rent-free tenures of Garhwa lot consisting of 63 villages of Untari estate. In Manbhum, the percentage of total collection on current demand was 92·6 against 84·2 in the previous year. Of the five estates, only one attained the prescribed standard. The percentage of current collection on current demand was 35·9 against 29·5 in the previous year. In Singhbhum, the percentage of total collection on current demand in Chirai and Murum estate was 103·9 against 46·6, and in the Anandpur estate, it was 76·4 against 59·8 in the preceding year.

The Board has drawn the serious attention of the District Officers to the low collections of rent and cess and has threatened to take action against Managers who are found to be slack without good reason in this matter.

Balance of rent and cess due to estates.

7. The outstanding balance due to estates on account of rent and cess at the close of the year was Rs. 80,80,986 representing 162·1 per cent of the current demand and 69·1 per cent of the total demand against 128·4 per cent and 63·5 per cent respectively in the preceding year. In Patna the total balance was Rs. 90,791 or 129·8 per cent of the current demand. In Tirhut the total balance was Rs. 34,21,446 or 115 per cent of the current demand. In Bettiah, the balance increased from Rs. 20,54,607 to Rs. 32,95,869, the latter representing 113·67 per cent of the current demand. The balance is inclusive of rupees 5 to 6 lakhs to be wiped off due to the rent reduction and cancellation of *Sharah-beshi*. Out of the balance, a sum of Rs. 5,16,516 was realised after the close of the year, Rs. 45,964 was reported to be bad and irrecoverable, recovery of Rs. 32,325 was doubtful, Rs. 70,396, Rs. 9,561 and Rs. 44,909 were covered by suits, decrees, and certificates respectively, and the remainder was in process of recovery. In the Bhagalpur division, the total balance was Rs. 29,95,428 representing 276·02 of the current demand. The balances increased in all estates except in Gidhaur, Mirza Muhammad Hussain Trust, and Nankar-Sankara estates where the balances stood at Rs. 10,31,829, Rs. 477 and Rs. 76,109, respectively against Rs. 10,33,036, Rs. 700 and Rs. 82,825 respectively in the preceding year. In Chota Nagpur the arrears were more than 25 per cent of the current demand in all estates. The total balance amounting to Rs. 15,73,321 represented 184·4 per cent of the current demand. In Asko, Maraphari, Dhanwar, Karharbari, Kathamdiri, Hosir II and Gawan in Hazaribagh the arrears were heavy being 174·8, 125·6, 159·8, 208·1, 167·1, 112·4, and 145·4 respectively. In Ranchi, the total balance amounted to Rs. 2,81,482 representing 150·9 per cent of the current demand. The percentages of balances on the current demand, were very heavy in Tamar (238·8), Pandra (233·4), Dowaru (191.6), Sonahatu (188·4,) Siri (186·2) and Burhadih (185·3). In Palamau, total balance amonnted to Rs. 6,72,150 representing 330·0 per cent of the current demand, ranging from 75·3 to 506·2 in different estates. In Manbhum, the total outstanding balance was Rs. 2,72,031 representing 143·4 per cent of the current demand. In Singhbhum, the outstanding balance amounted to Rs. 34,428 representing 190.9 per cent of the current demand. Board's observations are the same as in the concluding portion of paragraph 6 above.

Debts due by estates.

8. In Patna, of the three estates under management during the year, Belwarganj is heavily involved, its debts amounting to Rs. 8,21,209. It is an insolvent estate. The debts of the estate of Mosammat Kazimi Begum amounted to Rs. 29,594 and of Mosammat Mehdait-un-nisa Begum to Rs. 14,446. In Tirhut, the debts of the Devendra Prasad Jain's estate amounted to Rs. 3,80,640. The estate has since been released. The debts of the estate of Babu Beni Madhab Prasad Singh and Babu Krishna Madhab Prasad Singh amounted to Rs. 1,49,156 and the debts of the estate of Bahuria Deolochan Kuer to Rs. 1,30,544. In Bettiah, a sum of Rs. 1,505 remained due towards interest payable to *mukarridars* on their security deposits and satisfaction of decrees against the estate. The estates in the Bhagalpur Division were free from debt except Khagra, Husainabad and Umanath Misra's estate. In Khagra, besides revenue and cess due to Government and rent and cess due to superior landlords other debts now amount to Rs. 14,48,638. The Board has pointed out to the Commissioner that the financial condition of the estate is getting worse and has warned the Manager to effect an improvement in the management. The Collector is negotiating for a loan on favourable terms to wipe off the debts but it is extremely doubtful whether the attempt will be successful and it is very likely the release of the estate will have to be considered soon. In Husainabad, the debts amounted to Rs. 4,23,543. This is another insolvent estate and a report has been called for from the Commissioner on the advisability of releasing the estate. In Uma Nath Misra's estate the debts were Rs. 18,870. Of the encumbered estates in

Hazaribagh, two were ahead of their schemes and ten behind. The deficiency was heaviest (Rs. 1,23,243) in Dhanwar due to unsatisfactory collections and heavy legal and social expenses. The deficiency of Rs. 46,644 in Dhargulli is due to the abandonment of the proposal of the sale of a portion of the estate to pay off debts. The proposal was abandoned as it was expected that there would be an increase in its rent-roll. The deficiency in other estates was mainly due to short collections. Gawan could reduce its debt very little from Rs. 6,06,237 to Rs. 6,05,732. In Ranchi, out of 28 estates 8 are new. Of the remaining 20, the debt payment was behind the scheme in 18 estates due generally to short collections owing to the rent reduction proceedings. In Palamau, Sonepura paid Rs. 21,984 leaving a balance of Rs. 1,30,913. In Bisrampur, Rs. 11,476 was paid leaving Rs. 2,12,590 outstanding. Untari paid Rs. 2,000 only and the balance swelled to Rs. 3,16,922. Tori and Gauriaban paid Rs. 7,793 and Rs. 665 leaving balances of Rs. 2,33,366 and Rs. 50,867, respectively. Nothing was paid in other estates in Palamau. In Manbhum, the total debts paid during the year amounted to Rs. 16,538. Katras paid nothing towards its ordinary debts which amounted to Rs. 2,90,755. A sum of Rs. 2,05,333 was adjusted during the year towards the debts due to the Nawagarh Coal Company leaving a balance of Rs. 10,03,728. The position of this estate is desperate.

Management charges.

9. The cost of management for the whole province was 10·5 per cent of the aggregate of the current rent and cess demand and of the recurring income from minerals, fisheries, etc., of the estates against 12·2 per cent in the preceding year. The standard of 15 per cent was exceeded in Nankar-Sankara, Bairia, Pokhraha and Anandpur estates and in the Jogiara Trust estate. The percentage in Bettiah was 10·5 against 12·5 in the preceding year. In the Bhagalpur Division as a whole the percentage was only 8·9. In the estates in Chota Nagpur, the percentage ranged from ·5 to 21·5 and taking the division as a whole it was 11·3 as in the preceding year.

Rate.

10. *General rate.*—The general rate for the year was fixed at six annas per hundred rupees of actual gross income of the Bettiah estate, and at twelve annas for all other estates. The total current and arrear demand on this account amounted to Rs. 44,752, and the realisations including advance payments to Rs. 22,101, the balance left in the insolvent Khagra estate alone amounting to Rs. 18,496.

Audit rate.—Audit fees were levied on the basis of the daily rates fixed by the Government of India. The accounts of Bettiah continued to be audited by the special staff employed by the estate.

Audit of accounts.

11. No embezzlement or irregularity of a serious nature was detected as a result of audit in any of the estate. The special staff engaged in the work of running audit of the accounts of the Bettiah estate which had to be supplemented in the year 1934-35 in consequence of the estate taking up an extensive scheme of reconstruction of earthquake damage was retained during the year as adjustment of accounts had not been completed. The question of introduction of the system of concurrent audit by a whole-time audit staff in the Hathwa estate is under the consideration of the Board.

Schools, dispensaries and charities.

12. The standard prescribed for expenditure on schools is one per cent on the current rent and cess demand in solvent estates.

Tirhut Division.—Bettiah spent Rs. 51,407 on education, Rs. 32,571 on dispensaries and Rs. 49,970 on charities or a total sum of Rs. 1,33,948 against Rs. 1,37,221 in the preceding year. It continued to maintain one High English School, one free Sanskrit School for Brahmin boys and one Middle English School for girls. The estate contributed Rs. 17,214 to various educational institutions and spent Rs. 3,906 on scholarships. It maintains the King Edward Memorial Hospital, the Lady Dufferin Hospital, the Veterinary Hospital and the Maternity and Child Welfare Centre at Bettiah. All these

institutions continued to be popular. The Leprosy Clinics at Ramgarhua, Bettiah and Motihari were maintained during the year and a temporary Plague Hospital had also to be run practically througout the year.

Bhagalpur Division.—The total expenditure was Rs. 17,517 against Rs. 22,593 in the preceding year. The Bahadurpur estate has set aside one village (mauza Chanan) for the maintenance of the Diamond Jubilee College at Monghyr and another (mauza Ratanchak) for the maintenance of the Monghyr Zila School yielding contributions of Rs. 770 and Rs. 500 a year respectively. It contributed Rs. 2,542 to other schools and spent Rs. 3,084 on the Estate Charitable Dispensary at Bahadurpur. It also spent Rs. 359 on charities. The Gidhaur estate spent Rs. 1,260 on the Chandra Chur Middle English School, Gidhaur, Rs. 750 on Kanya Pathsala, Rs. 110 on Jilani Girls School, Jamui, Rs. 922 on Ravaneshwar Sanskrit Vidyalaya, Gidhaur, Rs. 3,080 on dispensary and Rs. 2,130 on charities. The Cleveland Trust estate spent Rs. 144 on scholarships to Paharia boys. The insolvent Khagra estate could spend Rs. 360 only on schools and dispensaries. Umanath Misra Wards estate contributed a total sum of Rs. 1,232 to public institutions. The income of Mirza Muhammad Hussain's Trust estate is earmarked for the maintenance of a Middle English School and a Sarai at Purnea. The Nankar-Sankara estate spent Rs. 360 on schools, Rs. 246 on dispensaries and Rs. 184 on charities.

Chota Nagpur Division.—The total expenditure incurred in Hazaribagh was Rs. 1,561. In Ranchi very little was spent on schools, dispensaries and charities, the total expenditure amounting to Rs. 190 only. In Palamau Rs. 677 was spent on schools, Chainpur maintained a dispensary at Chainpur at a cost of Rs. 1,212 and Untari paid Rs. 2,581 towards the dispensary at Nagar Untari. Besides these Sonepura, Untari and Bisrampur estates contributed Rs. 156 to other dispensaries. In Manbhum the total expenditure was Rs. 469 only.

Works of improvement.

13. The standard prescribed for expenditure on works of agricultural and sanitary improvements in solvent estates is 3 per cent on the total income from rent and cess.

In Patna, no work of improvement was undertaken. In Tirhut, Bettiah spent Rs. 2,81,079 on works of improvements against Rs. 4,02,890 in the preceding year. In the estates in Saran no work of improvement could be carried out for want of funds. Of the total expenditure of Rs. 15,761 against Rs. 8,792 in the previous year in the Bhagalpur Division, Bahadurpur spent Rs. 1,810 against Rs. 5,073, and Gidhaur Rs. 12,004 against Rs. 2,820 respectively in the preceding year. Husainabad and Dhamna spent Rs. 467 and Rs. 296 against the budget provisions of Rs. 2,490 and Rs. 350 respectively. The insolvent Khagra estate and Umanath Misra's estate spent practically nothing. The Nankar-Sankara estate spent Rs. 1 112 against Rs. 620 in the preceding year.

In Hazaribagh, Rs. 3,045 was spent in 10 encumbered estates. In Ranchi, Rs. 1,122 was spent in four estates against Rs. 600 in the preceding year. The estates in Palamau spent a total sum of Rs. 5,122 against Rs. 3,707 in the previous year. In Manbhum, out of a total expenditure of Rs. 4,002 against Rs. 6,118 in the preceding year, Barabhum spent Rs. 1,877 and Katras Rs. 1,196.

Agricultural experiments and improvements in special farms.

14. In Bettiah the agricultural farms continued to show a handsome profit. The Lalsaraiya and Madhopur farms taken over by the estate in May 1935, cover an area of 750 acres and 327 acres respectively. The objects of the farms are (*a*) to increase the income of the estate by running them on modern improved methods, (*b*) to demonstrate their working, (*c*) to train the staff required by the estate, big cultivators and others, and (*d*) to supply good, healthy and improved seed to the estate tenants and general public. Sugarcane, maize, wheat and other crops were tried in these farms which yielded a net profit of Rs. 55,003 against Rs. 52,859 in the

previous year. The Byreah farm with an area of about 315 acres, six miles from the Bettiah Railway station is intended to form the centre of agricultural improvements for the subdivision. Sugarcane, maize, wheat, oats, barley, linseed and other crops were tried in the farm, ploughing and cultivation being done by the improved Bihar implements which have been found useful and economical, giving satisfactory results. The net profit of the farm during the year was Rs. 12,703. With the resumption of *thika* villages in October 1939, *bakasht* lands in the *thika* villages were also brought under *kham* management. In Sathi, Matiaria and Didhaura new farms have been started covering areas of 316 acres, 150 acres and 156 acres respectively. It is expected that if the farms are run efficiently and economically they can recoup the loss sustained by rent reduction operations to some extent. The Bahadurpur estate distributed seeds of sugarcane, Kabuli gram and Pusa wheat and entertained a whole-time Kamdar. Three cane-growers' societies are working in the estate. Irrigation demonstrations were done by power engines in three places with success and appreciated by the cultivators and local zamindars. The result of the experiments tried in the demonstration farm in the Nankar-Sankara estate in the Santal Parganas was not satisfactory. No work of improvement was undertaken by any other estate in the Bhagalpur Division. In Baghmundi and Barabhum estates in Manbhum some of the tenants tried cultivation of gram and wheat. No experiment was undertaken by any other estate in Chota Nagpur.

Survey and record-of-rights

15. No estate was under survey and settlement operations. In Bettiah, the record-of-rights is being maintained up-to-date as far as practicable. In Bahadurpur, Shakerpura and Dhamna estates the record-of-rights have become very old and require revision. In Nankar-Sankara estate, some portions of the jungle plots, *gochar* lands, and hills were surveyed and several cases of encroachments detected. In Ranchi, *jamabandi* registers are being kept up-to-date. In Palamau, the record-of-rights is being completed gradually; and in Manbhum continuous khatians are maintained in which corrections are noted.

Mutations.

16. The percentage of mutations on the total number of tenancies in the estates of the province was 2·5 against 3·4 in the preceding year. Only three estates attained the standard of 8 per cent. In Tirhut and Bhagalpur Divisions the percentage was 5·13 and 1·85 respectively. In Bettiah, the percentage was 2·62 in *thika* villages and 6·77 in *kham* villages, against 4·6 and 6·8 respectively in the preceding year. The decline is due to the fact that several cases submitted by the outgoing thikadars had to be kept in abeyance in order to guard against spurious proposals. In Gidhaur, the percentage was ·59 against nil in the preceding year. In Khargra, the percentage rose from ·9 in the preceding year to 1·09, and similarly in Umanath Misra's estate, it was 3·1 against 1·07 in the previous year. In Nankar-Sankara estate, the percentage was 24·94 against 4·3 in the preceding year. In Hazaribagh none of the estates attained the prescribed standard and the percentage was 1·7 against 2·1 in the previous year. In Palamau and Singhbhum the standard was not attained and the precentages were ·6 and 5·04 against ·07 and nil in the preceding year. In Manbhum, the percentage was 5·8 against 5·1 in the previous year, and one estate exceeded the standard (Bhoramahal Encumbered estate 13·4 per cent).

Inspection of rent rolls.

17. The results of inspections are shown in Appendix IX. The percentage of inspections on the total number of tenants by tahsildars and other subordinate agency was 52·71 and by Managers and other superior agency was 28·2, against 64·4 and 39·5 respectively in the previous year. The former detected 3,836 and the latter 886 unreported cases against 3,619 and 2,450 respectively in the previous year.

Unauthorised cultivation of unsettled lands.

18. In Patna, there was no case of any unauthorised cultivation. In Bettiah, cases of encroachment or wrongful possession by tenants were amicably settled in most cases, but where persuation failed, legal steps were taken. In

Bahadurpur, encroachments detected have all been removed. There was no case of unauthorised cultivation in Shakerpura. In Gidhaur, Hussainabad and Dhamna, temporary staff have been appointed to re-survey the villages to detect encroachments and to prepare accurate *jamabandis*. In Khagra, 262 settlements were made and attempts are being made to settle all unsettled lands. In Umanath Misra's estate, 22 cases of unauthorised cultivation and 118 cases of encroachments were detected, and these are being dealt with by the Manager. In the enoumbered estates in Hazaribagh, rents were assessed on 556 *gairmazrua* holdings giving an annual increase of Rs. 1,261 in the rent roll. In Gawan, settlements of *gairmazrua* lands and purchased holdings yielded an increase in the rent roll by Rs. 332. In Ranchi, only one case of unauthorised cultivation in the Burhadih estate was detected. In Palamau, *uttakar* cultivation of 39 holdings are under process of assessment. In Manbhum, 1,391 cases of unauthorised cultivation were detected, for which necessary steps have been taken in some cases, or are being taken.

Operation of certificate procedure.

19. The number of certificates filed during the year fell by 22 per cent to 7,880 from 9,611 in the preceding year. Certificates for disposal totalled 14,093 covering Rs. 6,80,870 : 8,733 were disposed off realising Rs. 2,94,999. Apart from the amounts remitted or covered by certificates which were struck off, the unrealized balance at the close of the year amounted to Rs. 3,78,072 against Rs. 3,57,680 in the previous year. The percentage of the amount realized during the year on the total demand covered by certificates was 59·4 in Tirhut, 33·3 in Bhagalpur and 45·1 in Chota Nagpur. There was an improvement in Tirhut and a drop in Bhagalpur and Chota Nagpur.

Civil suits.

20. Statistics relating to civil suits for the recovery of rent and cess are given in Appendix V. The total number of such suits rose from 1,548 in the preceding year to 1,848 in the year under report, Gidhaur alone being responsible for 1,481. Of the total number of suits (2,387) for disposal, 2,103 cases were disposed of, leaving a balance of 284 cases, of which 149 were pending for over six months. Of the total amount decreed, Rs. 10,544 was realized and Rs. 1,16,085 remained outstanding at the close of the year. In Bettiah recoveries amounted to Rs. 11 only out of Rs. 3,509 decreed. Gidhuar recovered Rs. 4,123 only out of Rs. 62,335, Khagra could recover Rs. 774 only out of Rs. 21,141, and Umanath Misra's estate Rs. 3,210 out of Rs. 8,485. In Hazaribagh Rs. 50 was recovered out of Rs. 328. In Ranchi Rs. 815 was recovered out of Rs. 1,489, while in Palamau Rs. 158 only was recovered out of Rs. 23,113. In Manbhum, Rs. 5 only was realised out of Rs. 323. The Anandpur estate in Singhbhum realised Rs. 867 in respect of old cases filed during 1937-38.

Tours of Managers.

21. The tour of the General Manager, Wards and Encumbered Estates, Palamau was inadequate for which no explanation has been furnished though frequent change of managers is apparently the reason. The tour of the Manager of the Anandpur estate was again inadequate but the estate is a petty one. In all other estates the tours of managers were adequate and generally satisfactory. The importance of adequate and methodical touring is being impressed on the managers of estates.

Education of wards.

22. The son of Musammat Kazimi Begum and the son of Musammat Mehdiatun-nisa Begum of Patna City are prosecuting their studies in England and at Aligarh respectively. The elder grandson of Babu Debendra Prasad Jain is being educated in Chapra Zila School, and the elder son of Babu Beni Madhava Prasad Singh in the High English School at Amnour. The minor Maharaja Bahadur of Gidhaur is a child. The sons of the proprietor of Husainabad are being educated at the local institution. The youngest Khagra Ward, who was reading in the Railway school at Madhupur, has from January 1940 been admitted into the George Edward High School there. A Salgawan proprietor's son is reading in Hazaribagh Zila School. The son of the proprietor of Deotan estate is reading in the Hindu Middle English School

at Hazaribagh. The proprietor of Dadikalan is receiving zamindari training. The Gawan Wards continued their studies in the Hazaribagh Zila School. They were admitted into the school hostel and were put under the guardianship of the Headmaster of the school. The elder ward was promoted to the next higher class. In Ranchi, two wards of Toto, one of Jario and three of Masmano were reading in Balkrishna High English School. One ward of Barang was reading in the Ranchi Zila School and one ward of Guru estate was reading in the 4th year class of the Patna College. One son of the Dowaru proprietor, three sons of the Sonahatu proprietor and one son of the proprietor of the Burhadih estate were reading in village schools. Two wards of Siri proprietors and three of Tupudana proprietors were reading in Ranchi schools. The son of the proprietor of the Bhounro estate is reported to be doing satisfactorily in the 2nd year class of the Benares Hindu University. One ward of Pandra estate is reading in the Kuru Middle Vernacular School and another at home under a private tutor. In Palamau, the Untari proprietor's first son is in the Rajkumar College while the second son is in Namkum Bishop Westcott Boy's School. The two sons of the proprietor of Bisrampur and the eldest son of the Tori proprietor were in the Ranchi Zila School. The Naudiha Ward continued in the Girivar High English School at Daltonganj. The two sons of the proprietor of Barahi estate are reading at Ranchi. In Manbhum, the minor proprietor of Katras who was reading in Col. Brown's Cambridge School at Dehra Dun could not pass the final examination. His younger brother is prosecuting his studies at the Benares Hindu University. The second son of the proprietor of the Baghmundi estate is reading in the Purulia Zila School.

Mineral receipts, Appendices VI and VII.

23. Particulars of mining concessions in private estates under management are given in appendices VI and VII. There are no mines in any wards estate in the Bhagalpur division except in the Gidhaur and Dhamna estates. The Gidhaur estate possesses a vast area containing deposits of mica, limestone and other minerals. Some of the mica mines were settled during the life-time of the late Maharaja Bahadur and the question of re-settling them is under the consideration of the Board. The Dhamna estate possesses a small area of mica bearing fields and morum, ballast and ghooting are also found in plenty. In Hazaribagh, two mica mining leases were in force in the Gawan Wards estate from which Rs. 64,418 was collected out of Rs. 72,173 due. In the Manjuladih and Dhanwar encumbered estates, the entire demands of Rs. 3,000 and Rs. 400 respectively were collected in full. In Ranchi three mining leases for gold, silver, precious stones, etc., were in force in the Tamar encumbered estate from which Rs. 3,600 was due during the year but nothing was realized. Certificate cases for the arrear demand of Rs. 2,700 is pending. The civil suits filed against the Bengal Coal Company by the Chainpur estate and the suit filed by Government against it claiming rights in minerals and sub-soil in the Hutar coalfields, referred to in the last year's report, are still pending. In the Sonepura estate Rs. 28,033 was realised on account of royalty on limestone including surface rent. In Manbhum one prospecting license for mica and six mining leases for coal were in force. Out of the total demand of Rs. 1,34,689, Rs. 1,32,528 was collected, leaving a balance of Rs. 2,161 at the close of the year.

Laws.

24. There was no change during the year in the laws governing the Wards and Encumbered estates. The Court of Wards (Amendment) Act, 1939 (Bihar Act IV of 1940) received the assent of the Governor-General after the close of the year on the 12th May 1940.

Merits of officers.

25. The thanks of the Board are due to the Commissioners of Divisions and the Collectors for the care and attention with which the estates under the charge of the Court of Wards have been administered during the year. The work done by the managers has been, with some exceptions, fairly satisfactory.

General.

26. The submission of the report by the Collector of Patna was delayed by about two months and by the Commissioner of Bhagalpur by about three weeks for which the manager of the Husainabad estate was responsible. The Board regrets to observe that it has to complain of the unpunctual submission of the reports and proposes to take serious steps against any manager who may be found in future to be dilatory and neglectful in this respect. It is a very safe inference that a manager who is careless and unpunctual in respect of one branch of his duty will not be found reliable in other matters.

The relations between the tenants and the managing agency continued to be generally satisfactory. Of the measures taken in Bettiah to help the tenantry the following may be mentioned :—

(*a*) restriction on the filing of certificates, (*b*) appointment of trained men to educate people how to save cane from disease, (*c*) grant of Rs. 12,500 to fire-stricken people, (*d*) grant of certain concession to Tharus living in the jungle area, (*e*) water-supply, (*f*) supply of improved cane seeds to the tenants, (*g*) cancellation of *sarah-beshi*, (*h*) recognition of tenants' rights over fruits of trees in their thika land, and (*i*) reduction of rent, including reduction in arrear.

The Maharani of Bettiah remained at Allahabad throughout the year and an improvement in her health and general condition is reported. She has now taken to driving and boating, particularly whenever there is any religious festival. She was visited by the Committee consisting of the Commissioner of Tirhut, the Inspector-General of Civil Hospitals, Bihar, and the Manager of Bettiah on the 4th March 1940 and by His Highness the Maharaja Bahadur of Benares on the 7th February 1940. The Manager also called on her four times during the year and she was as well visited by her brother and cousin and other relations.

An area of 10,870 odd bighas of land in Bettiah continued to be reserved as pasture ground for village cattle. Forests of two villages of Jonha Encumbered Estate and of one village of Masmano Encumbered Estate were reserved during the year under the Indian Forest Act.

The financial condition of Khagra, Husainabad and Katras estates is very bad and the chance of saving these estates seems to be remote.

PART II.—ESTATES TAKEN IN CHARGE OR RELEASED.

27. Seventeen new estates were brought under management and three were released.

ESTATES TAKEN IN CHARGE.

28. *Estate of Musammat Mehadiat-un-nisa Begum of Patna City, Patna.*—On the application of Musammat Mehadiat-un-nisa Begum *alias* Khorasani Begum, wife of Saiyid Mobarak Ali, M.L.C., of Patna City, to be declared disqualified proprietress, Government approved the taking over of the estate under the management of the Court of Wards. She was accordingly declared on the 8th July 1939 to be disqualified under clause (*e*) of section 6 of the Bengal Court of Wards Act, 1879 and her estate was brought under management. The estate is not heavily involved and the debts are expected to be paid off within a reasonable period.

29. *Estate of Musammat Kazimi Begum, Patna.*—On the application of Musammat Kazimi Begum, wife of Akbar Ali of Patna City, to be declared disqualified proprietress, Government approved the taking over of the estate under the management of the Court of Wards. She was accordingly declared on the 8th July 1939, to be disqualified under clause (*e*) of section 6 of the Bengal Court of Wards Act, 1879 and the estate was brought under management. The estate is not heavily involved.

30. *Estate of Bahuria Deolochan Kuar, Saran.*—On the application of Bahuria Deolochan Kuar, widow of late Babu Tincauri Prasad Singh of village

Amnour in the district of Saran, to be declared disqualified proprietress, Government sanctioned the taking over of the estate under the management of the Court of Wards. She was accordingly declared on the 3rd October 1939 to be disqualified under clause (*e*) of section 6 of the Bengal Court of Wards Act, 1879, and the estate was brought under management. The estate is heavily indebted.

31. *Estate of Babu Beni Madhava Prasad Singh and Babu Krishna Madhava Prasad Singh, Saran.*—Babu Beni Madhava Prasad Singh and Babu Krishna Madhava Prasad Singh having made an application to be declared disqualified proprietors, Government sanctioned the taking over of their estate under the management of the Court of Wards. They were accordingly declared on the 3rd October 1939 to be disqualified proprietors under clause (*e*) of section 6 of the Bengal Court of Wards Act, 1879 and their estate, including the property of the two minor sons of Babu Beni Madhava Prasad Singh, named Babu Radha Madhava Prasad Singh and Bacha Babu, was brought under management. The estate is heavily involved in debts.

32. *Husainabad, Monghyr.*—On the application of Maulavi Saiyid Muhammad Jabir Ali Khan and his wife Bibi Halimat-un-nisa Begum *alias* Imami Begum of Husainabad to be declared disqualified proprietors, Government sanctioned the taking over charge of their estates with effect from the 1st April 1939. They were declared on the 21st February 1939 to be disqualified under clause (*e*) of section 6 of the Bengal Court of Wards Act, IX (B.C.) of 1879 and their estates were brought under management. As agreed to by the proprietors the two estates are being managed jointly. A portion of the properties of Bibi Halimat-un-nisa Begum *alias* Imami Begum is situate in the Province of Bengal, and at the instance of this Board, the Court of Wards, Bengal, declared her to be a disqualified proprietress, but management of the portion of the property was left to the Court of Wards of this province. The estate is heavily involved in debts and the question of its release is under consideration.

33. *Dhamna, Monghyr.*—Babu Baijnath Prasad Singh and his son Babu Hari Shankar Prasad Singh of Dhamna in Monghyr, having made an application to be declared disqualified proprietors, the Board took over charge of their estate including the property of Sriman Gopal Saran Singh, minor son of Babu Hari Shankar Prasad Singh, under clause (*e*) of section 6 of the Bengal Court of Wards Act, 1879. The estate is fairly solvent and its debts amounting to Rs. 19,685 are expected to be liquidated in course of a reasonable period.

34. *Bairiya, Hazaribagh.*—It is a small ghatwali gadi having properties in three different districts of Hazaribagh, Bhagalpur and Manbhum. It was given protection under the Bengal Court of Wards Act IX of 1879. The annual gross income of the estate is estimated at Rs. 11,627 and the provisional scheme provides for the liquidation of debts which is estimated at Rs. 52,057 in about 12 years.

35. *Chotkibeura, Ranchi.*—This estate consisting of 10 villages was taken under management of the Chota Nagpur Encumbered Estates Act by a vesting order, dated the 14th June 1939, published in *Bihar Gazette* of the 21st June 1939. The estimated annual income of the estate amounts to Rs. 3,376. The entire estate is leased out in Zerpeshgi and is heavily involved in debt. The debts due by the estate have not yet been finally determined.

36. *Mardhan, Ranchi.*—This estate consists of 21 villages and is situated in the Khunti Subdivision of the district. It was brought under management of the Chota Nagpur Encumbered Estates Act by a vesting order, dated the 3rd August 1939 published in the *Bihar Gazette* of the 9th August 1939. The annual gross income is estimated at Rs. 3,228 and the liabilities at Rs. 8,935. The provisional scheme provides for liquidation of the debts in about 16 years.

37. *Bhounro, Ranchi.*—This estate, the property of Pandey Paras Nath Ray, is scattered in the Ranchi, Lohardaga and Sesai thanas of the district. The estate was brought under management by a vesting order, dated the 27th

July 1939, published in the *Bihar Gazette* of the 2nd August 1939. The estate consists of 19 villages. Its annual gross income is estimated at Rs. 2,635 and the liabilities at Rs. 11,557 which will take about 20 years according to the provisional scheme to liquidate.

38. *Bonai, Ranchi.*—This estate, consisting of 30 villages lying in thanas Basia and Sesai of the district, was brought under the management of the Chota Nagpur Encumbered Estates Act by a vesting order, published in the *Bihar Gazette* of the 20th December 1939. The annual gross income is estimated at Rs. 5,532. The debts due by the estate have not yet been finally determined.

39. *Garhwa (Guru Prasad Singh), Palamau.*—This estate, the property of Babu Guru Prasad Singh is a Khorposh tenure under the Untari Encumbered Estate and is comprised of fractional shares in 40 villages. The final scheme of the estate has not yet been prepared. Its gross annual income is estimated at Rs. 5,570 and the liabilities, so far ascertained, at Rs. 5,900. The provisional scheme provides for the liquidation of the debts in about 21 years.

40. *Garhwa (Tribeni Singh), Palamau.*—This estate, the property of Babu Tribeni Singh, is a Khorposh tenure under the Untari Encumbered Estate and consists of fractional shares in 39 villages. Its annual income is about Rs. 5,379 and the debts are estimated at Rs. 43,068. The provisional scheme provides for liquidation of the debts in about 18 years.

41. *Garhwa (Amar Dayal Singh), Palamau.*—This estate, the property of Babu Amar Dayal Singh, is also a Khorposh tenure under the Untari Encumbered Estate. The estate consists of fractional shares in 30 villages. This estate along with the above two Garhwa estates was brought under management of the Chota Nagpur Encumbered Estates Act by a vesting order, dated the 2nd December 1939, published in the *Bihar Gazette*, dated the 6th December 1939. The income of the estate is estimated at Rs. 3,930 and the liabilities at Rs. 44,931. The provisional scheme provides for the liquidation of the debts in about 28 years.

42. *Chakla, Palamau.*—This estate, consisting of 15 villages, is a jagir tenure under the Tori Encumbered Estate. It was brought under management of the Chota Nagpur Encumbered Estates Act by a vesting order, dated the 19th December 1939, published in the *Bihar Gazette* of the 27th December 1939. The annual gross income of the estate is Rs. 3,239 only and the debts so far ascertained amount to about Rs. 14,400.

43. *Bhoramahal, Manbhum.*—The Bhoramahal estate, belonging to Tikait Manmohan Singh, was taken under protection of the Chota Nagpur Encumbered Estates Act by a vesting order, published in the *Bihar Gazette* of the 19th July 1939. The estate consists of 21 villages and was previously under management from 1899 to 1922. The gross income is estimated at Rs. 6,768 and the debts ascertained up to the close of the year amounted to Rs. 42,279. The preliminary scheme provides for liquidation of the debts in about 16 years.

44. *Estate of Maharaja Sir Prodyot Kumar Tagore Bahadur, K.C.I.E., of Tagore Castle, Calcutta.*—It is situated mainly in Bengal and partly in Bihar. On the recommendation of the Board of Revenue, Bengal and on his application the Maharaja Sir Prodyot Kumar Tagore Bahadur, K.C.I.E., was declared to be a disqualified proprietor under clause (*e*) of section 6 of the Bengal Court of Wards Act, 1879 and charge of his property lying in this Province was assumed by the Court of Wards for this Province in May 1939. For the efficient management of the entire estate it has been arranged that the portion of the estate lying in this Province will be managed by the Court of Wards, Bengal, along with other properties of the proprietor in Bengal.

ESTATES RELEASED.

45. *Chainpur, Palamau.*—This estate is a revenue-paying estate in this district and pays annually a sum of Rs. 1,335 on account of revenue and a sum of Rs. 5,292 on account of cess to Government. The proprietor of the estate, Raja Brahmdeo Narayan Singh, died on the 3rd August 1924, leaving

behind a minor son aged about 2 years—born on the 30th November 1922, and 2 widows. The estate was taken under protection under Act IX(B.C.) of 1879 in August 1924. A title suit no. 18 of 1933 was instituted against the estate by the Secretary of State for India in Council, claiming mineral rights in the estate. The estate was due for release on the 30th November 1943, when the minor was to attain his majority but it was released earlier, on the 3rd May 1939, to avoid a possible charge that the defence of this suit was not properly conducted in the best interest of the ward by the Court of Wards. The charge of the estate was made over to the two certified guardians appointed by the Judicial Commissioner, Ranchi. When the estate came under protection it was indebted to the extent of Rs. 92,585 which, together with the subsequent interest and subsequent loan of Rs. 25,000, was paid in full during the period of management. Its annual demand at the time of assumption of charge was Rs. 29,062 besides cess. At the time of its release from management the annual demand was Rs. 33,004 on account of rent and Rs. 2,713 on account of cess and Rs. 17,000 on account of miscellaneous income.

46. *Pokhraha, Palamau.*—This estate, the property of Thakurai Shankar Dayal Singh and five others, is a khorposh tenure under the Chainpur estate in the Palamau district. It was taken under protection of the Encumbered Estates Act, VI of 1876, by notification, dated the 11th August 1934, published in the *Bihar and Orissa Gazette*, dated the 22nd August 1934 and was released from management with effect from the 25th November 1939 as a workable scheme could not be framed to liquidate its balance of debts which amounted to Rs. 3,411. Its current demand at the time of assumption of charge was Rs. 1,154 and at the time of release it amounted to Rs. 1,020.

47. *Mrs. Downing's Estate, Purnea.*—After the death of Mr. C. Y. Downing, the property owned by him came into possession of his wife—Mrs. F. B. Downing. The lady was elderly in age and unacquainted with zamindari affairs. She experienced considerable difficulty in managing the estate, with the result that she had to incur debts in order to pay Government revenue and cess. In January 1919 she was accordingly declared to be a disqualified proprietress on her own application under section 6 (*e*) of Act IX of 1879. The lady died on the 10th September 1938 and left a will by which she appointed three executors and trustees, two of whom were also legatees under the will. After the probate of the will was granted by the District Judge, Purnea, on the 9th June 1939, the estate was released from management with effect from the 1st August 1939. At the time of assumption of charge the estate had a debt of Rs. 36,000 which was liquidated in full.

I have the honour to be,
SIR,
Your most obedient servant,
A. D. BANARJI,
Secretary.

BGP (Bd) 55—350+2—15-2-1941—HCDG and others.

APPENDICES.

APPENDIX I.

Income of Wards, Encumbered and Trust Estates for the year 1939-40.

Division.	District.	Name and class of estate.		Rent and Cess due to the estate.						Other Receipts.				Total receipts.	Remarks.
				Current demand.	Current and arrear demand.	Collections.	Percentage of collection on current demand.	Remissions.	Balances.	Cash balance of last year.	Debts recovered.	Miscellaneous.	Suspense accounts.		
1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Patna ...	Patna ...	Belwarganj ...	W.	9,515	14,784	7,247	76·21	Nil	7,537	3,672	...	121	...	11,040	
		Kazmi Begam ...	W.	34,770	34,770	7,425	21·36	Nil	27,345	...	...	1	100	7,526	
		Mehdatunnissa Begam	W.	25,672	60,522	4,618	17·96	Nil	55,909	...	...	5	...	4,618	
		Total ...		69,957	1,10,076	19,285	...	...	90,791	3,672	...	127	100	23,184	
Tirhut Division.	Saran ...	Debendra Prasad Jain	W.	43,430	1,18,448	19,220	44·25	...	72,599	235	227	1,492	—1,406	19,768	
		Babu Krishna Madhab Prasad Singh and Babu Beni Madhab Prasad Singh's ...	W.	15,186	30,453	3,118	20·53	...	27,476	...	...	104	—1,047	2,175	
		Bahuria Deolochan Kour	W.	12,887	27,137	3,475	26·96	...	23,821	...	...	115	—1,773	1,817	
		Total ...		71,503	1,76,038	25,813	36·10	...	1,23,896	235	227	1,711	—4,226	23,760	
	Champaran ...	Bettiah ...	W.	28,99,369	50,09,689	16,68,877	57·56	43,848	32,95,869	9,11,559	16,339	2,69,458	4,88,856	33,55,089	
		Total ...		28,99,369	50,09,689	16,68,877	57·56	43,848	32,95,869	9,11,559	16,339	2,69,458	4,88,856	33,55,089	
	Darbhanga ...	Jogiara ...	T.	4,118	5,270	3,589	87·15	...	1,681	1,137	8	11	104	4,849	
		Total ...		4,118	5,270	3,589	87·15	...	1,681	1,137	8	11	104	4,849	
		Divisional Total ...		29,74,990	51,90,997	16,98,279	57·08	43,848	34,21,446	9,12,931	16,574	2,71,180	4,84,734	33,83,698	
Bhagalpur ...	Monghyr ...	Gidhaur ...	W.	2,83,232	13,34,130	2,02,073	71·3	99,987	10,31,829	5,286	2,608	1,90,383	19,919	4,20,269	
		Bahadurpur ...	W.	1,85,662	4,67,111	1,65,403	89·08	28,139	2,74,147	4,882	2,582	10,156	7,586	1,90,609	
		Shakerpura ...	W.	4,550	6,962	3,945	86·7	482	2,571	740	...	100	...	4,785	
		Hossainabad ...	W.	67,594	1,25,966	44,423	65,7	9,070	72,045	...	227	14,754	384	59,788	
		Dhamna ...	W.	16,988	49,022	13,086	77%	4,821	31,115	...	...	604	1,101	14,791	
		Total ...		5,58,026	19,83,191	4,28,930	76·8	1,42,499	14,11,767	10,908	5,417	2,15,997	26,990	6,90,242	
	Bhagalpur	Cleveland ...	T.	322	608	321	99·72	...	287	541	...	216	...	1,078	
		Total ...		322	608	321	99·72	...	287	541	...	216	...	1,078	
	Purnea ...	Khagra	W.	4,45,814	17,79,446	3,95,910	88·8	5,229	13,78,307	4,329	14,097	13,414	47,270	4,75,020	
		Umanath Misra	W.	46,790	1,67,347	38,762	82·8	44	1,28,541	3,849	865	17,741	990	62,207	
		Mirza Muhammad Husain's	T.	1,312	2,053	1,576	120·1	...	477	1,425	...	355	...	3,356	
		Total ...		4,93,916	19,48,846	4,36,248	88·3	5,273	15,07,325	9,603	14,962	31,510	48,260	5,40,583	
	Santal Parganas.	Nankar Sankara	W.	33,116	1,16,196	30,246	91·3	9,841	76,109	16,759	247	4,496	3,740	55,488	
		Total ...		33,116	1,16,196	30,246	91·3	9,841	76,109	16,759	247	4,496	3,740	55,488	
		Divisional Total ...		10,85,380	40,48,841	8,95,745	82·5	1,57,613	29,95,428	37,811	20,626	2,52,219	80,990	12,87,391	
Chota Nagpur	Hazaribagh	Salgawan ...	E.	2,680	4,343	1,641	61·2	342	1,948	77	...	17	508	2,243	
		Manai ...	E.	2,725	4,162	1,028	37·7	66	2,504	276	...	23	543	1,870	
		Asko ...	E.	3,311	8,336	1,489	44·9	174	5,790	51	72	36	665	2,313	
		Dhargulli ...	E.	24,006	35,252	15,463	64·4	670	17,463	1,242	649	500	4,633	22,487	
		Maraphari ...	E.	5,450	10,158	2,967	54·4	121	6,846	115	...	250	936	4,268	
		Dhanwar ...	E.	80,090	1,88,985	47,852	59·7	3,131	1,28,022	1,086	239	3,772	21,904	74,853	
		Amli ...	E.	2,682	3,603	2,131	79·4	...	987	30	...	11	680	2,852	
		Manjhiladih ...	E.	5,899	8,245	674	11·4	4	3,271	523	...	3,010	1,302	5,509	
		Sikri ...	E.	44,619	73,737	26,397	59·1	3,095	37,596	1,428	221	1,205	8,817	38,068	
		Dadikalan ...	E.	23,610	39,868	15,487	65·5	1,904	20 462	1,120	450	1,065	4,477	22,599	
		Karharbari (6 annas)	E.	4,728	12,121	2,366	50·0	109	9,840	76	59	37	1,072	3,610	
		Kathamdiri ...	E.	16,029	36,337	6,323	39·8	842	26,786	41	105	311	3,403	10,183	
		Deotan ...	E.	1,405	3,440	1,790	127·4	...	1.071	36	...	1	747	2,574	
		Hosir no. II. ...	E.	3.987	6,874	2.397	60·1	...	4,485	...	3	7	652	3,059	
		Bairia ...	W.	3,744	4,118	643	17·1	...	1,126	...	...	2,021	632	3,296	
		Gawan ...	W.	30,957	71,633	18,050	58·3	7,668	45,033	25	21	67,699	17,963	1,03,758	
		Toral ...		2,55,722	5,11,212	1,46,698	57·2	17,126	3,13,230	6,126	1,819	79,965	68,934	3,03,542	

W. for Wards Estates.

T for Trust Estates.

E for Encumbered Estates.

APPENDIX I—concld.

Income of Wards, Encumbered and Trust Estates for the year 1939-40—concld.

Division.	District.	Name and class of estate.		Rent and cess due to the estate. Current demand.	Current and arrear demand.	Collections.	Percentage of collection on current demand.	Remissions.	Balances.	Other Receipts. Cash balance of last year.	Debts recovered.	Miscellaneous.	Suspense accounts.	Total receipts.	Remarks.
1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Chota Nagpur—concld.	Ranchi ...	Tupudana ...	E.	4,274	10,267	3,134	73·3	...	6,852	51	100	431	1,475	5,191	
		Tamar ...	E.	24,057	75,028	16,152	67·1	...	57,453	36	413	2,720	3,701	23,022	
		Guru ...	E	1,935	3,568	1,707	88·2	...	1,987	658	69	46	1,013	3,493	
		Biru ...	E.	26,863	51,702	17,596	65·5	...	30,685	1,392	84	2,289	5,768	27,129	
		Bhargaon ...	E	1,987	4,239	1,549	77·9	7	2,199	330	12	124	435	2,450	
		Narekela ...	E.	1,673	3,789	1,674	100·1	65	2,020	278	17	74	688	2,731	
		Toto ½ (R. N. T.)	E.	6,450	12,017	6,034	93·6	14	4,496	192	117	345	1,279	7,967	
		Korambe ...	E.	16,806	38,154	13,828	82·3	526	23,306	684	103	1,260	2,125	18,000	
		Lachragarh ...	E.	9,569	19,829	6,769	70·7	25	12,686	136	137	1,025	1,477	9,544	
		Nawagarh ...	E.	15,221	28,647	11,091	72·8	...	16,789	145	148	907	1,483	13,774	
		Masmano ...	E.	7,443	21,158	8,094	108·8	213	12,565	169	829	724	3,783	13,599	
		Dowaru ...	E.	2,937	8,698	2,976	101·3	24	5,628	45	264	506	669	4,460	
		Jario ...	E.	4,358	11,490	3,747	85·9	...	7,818	26	50	400	3,536	7,759	
		Jarakel ...	E.	8,021	18,863	6,699	83·5	...	11,471	277	291	509	1,318	9,094	
		Pand a ...	E.	2,469	8,450	2,628	106·4	...	5,763	14	36	640	591	3,909	
		Barrang ...	E.	4,970	12,424	3,655	73·5	373	8,321	25	20	314	2,982	6,996	
		Gamharia ...	E.	2,752	7,114	1,970	71·6	97	5,061	35	17	261	1,775	4,058	
		Jonha ...	E.	2,409	6,079	2,053	85·2	...	4,020	7	31	1,954	938	4,983	
		Burhadih ...	E.	5,574	15,292	4,231	75·9	6	10,327	12	73	295	1,076	5,687	
		Sonahatu ...	E.	5,352	15,873	5,747	107·4	...	10,085	100	127	726	1,135	7,835	
		Taisar ...	E.	6,952	10,323	3,679	52·9	...	5,755	236	...	226	1,050	5,191	
		Siri ...	E.	8,249	21,475	5,932	71·9	...	15,361	88	146	876	1,678	8,720	
		Jamudag ...	E.	2,241	4,795	1,142	50·9	...	3,641	5	...	123	617	1,887	
		Loahatu ...	E.	2,914	6,141	1,982	68·0	...	4,066	3	19	499	668	3,171	
		Chotaki Buira ...	E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	50	50	
		Bhounro ...	E.	2,682	4,034	916	34·1	...	3,089	...	23	16	425	1,380	
		Mardhan ...	E.	3,422	7,768	1,764	51·5	410	5,534	...	9	145	410	2,328	
		Banai ...	E.	4,914	7,468	2,914	59·3	...	4,504	...	...	11	597	3,522	
		Total	...	1,86,494	4,34,685	1,39,663	74·9	1,760	2,81,482	4,944	3,135	17,446	42,742	2,07,930	
	Palamau ...	Bisrampur ...	E.	24,674	88,497	21,539	87·6	1,781	63,952	69	177	14,713	12,236	48,734	
		Sonepura ...	W.	46,483	1,56,923	40,950	88·0	1,305	1,07,759	2,137	596	37,066	15,630	96,379	
		Untari ...	E.	68,071	3,41,434	53,419	78·4	18,251	2,69,107	786	979	26,950	23,513	1,05,647	
		Gouriaban (Khapro)	E.	3,303	20,503	3,751	113·5	...	16,721	1,210	132	440	861	6,394	
		Tori ...	E.	29,041	89,919	24,226	83·4	1,151	63,244	2,931	67	9,486	9,191	45,901	
		Geruasoti ...	E.	3,099	14,667	2,734	88·2	...	11,887	76	53	208	1,005	4,076	
		Barahi ...	E.	2,076	4,457	1,766	85·0	...	2,459	3	3	611	490	2,873	
		Nagar-Pratappur	E.	7,237	21,157	8,138	112·4	1,215	11,139	298	176	493	1,399	10,504	
		Noudiha ...	E.	2,948	5,693	3,358	113·9	143	2,222	34	4	500	618	4,514	
		Kanchanpur ...	E.	3,059	9,659	1,948	63·3	...	7,635	35	33	2,914	1,575	6,505	
		Haider Nagar ...	E.	2,695	8,683	2,475	91·8	...	6,214	36	42	277	865	3,695	
		Garhwa (A.Ds.)	E.	2,829	4,014	419	14·8	...	3,248	...	...	2	...	421	
		Garhwa (T. S.) ...	E.	3,630	5,061	698	19·2	...	4,096	...	...	30	9	737	
		Garhwa (G.P.S.)	E.	2,414	3,850	270	11·9	...	3,334	...	...	22	...	292	
		Chakla " ...	E.	1,454	3,939	92	6·3	...	3,783	...	...	11	...	103	
		Chainpur ...	W.	...	91,995	1,261	...	...	91,106	12	6,122	2,867	1,997	12,259	Released in 1939-40.
		Pokhraha ...	E.	...	2,339	321	...	...	2,189	1	5	51	212	590	Released in 1939-40.
		Total	...	2,03,018	8,72,835	1,67,365	82·4	23,846	6,72,150	7,628	8,389	96,641	69,601	3,49,624	
	Manbhum ...	Barabhum ...	W.	73,406	1,87,353	77,673	105·8	2,655	1,03,430	8,520	5,524	2,496	18,574	1,12,787	
		Katras ...	E.	49,415	1,08,487	46,487	94·0	2,444	53,715	112	356	23,375	15,615	85,945	
		Tundi ...	E.	36,356	91,182	25,747	70·8	528	63,646	178	482	1,412	6,590	34,409	
		Baghmundi ...	E.	26,182	71,104	23,160	88·4	1,862	44,106	1,674	312	1,027	4,658	30,831	
		Bhoramahal ...	E.	4,220	10,583	2,511	59·5	...	7,134	...	3	58	591	3,163	
		Total	...	1,89,609	4,68,709	1,75,578	92·6	7,489	2,72,031	10,484	6,677	28,368	46,028	2,67,135	
	Singhbhum...	Anandpur ...	W.	17,490	54,622	13,364	76·4	6,884	34,112	4,206	400	4,040	793	22,803	
		Charai and Murrum	E.	542	879	563	103·9	...	316	390	...	...	...	953	
		Total	...	18,032	55,501	13,927	77·1	6,884	34,428	4,596	400	4,040	793	23,756	
		Divisional Total	...	8,52,875	23,42,942	6,43,231	75·4	57,105	15,73,321	33,778	20,420	2,26,460	2,28,098	11,51,987	
		GRAND TOTAL	...	49,83,202	1,16,92,856	32,56,540	65·4	2,58,566	80,80,986	9,88,192	57,620	7,49,986	7,93,922	58,46,260	

W. for Wards' Estates.
E. for Encumbered Estates.
T. for Trust Estates.

Maldwar ... ... W.
Balisahi (Bhunia) ... ... W.
Kasimbazar ... ... W.
Haripur Barataraf ... ... W.
Lalgola ... ... W.
Banin ... ... W.
Suksena ... ... W.
} Under management of Court of Wards, Bengal.

Kalla ... ... W.
Promode Narain ... ... W.
} Under management of Court of Wards, United

APPENDIX II.

Disbursements of Wards, Encumbered and Trust Estates for the year 1939-40.

Division and District.	Estates.	Net income, i.e., column 6 of Appendix I minus columns 8 and 9 of Appendix II.	Disbursement on account of— Revenue and cess due to Government.			Disbursement on account of— Rent and cess due to superior landlords.			Management.		Other disbursements.								Total disbursements.	Cash balance at the close of the year.	Debts due at the close of the year.			Market value of Government securities at the close of the year.
			Current demand.	Current and arrear demand.	Payment.	Current demand.	Current and arrear demand.	Payment.	Expenditure.	Percentage of cost of management charges (column 10) on recurring demands (columns 4 and 11 of Appendix I).	Law expenses.	Maintenance and education allowances of the proprietor and family.	Schools, dispensaries and charities.	Improvements.	Miscellaneous, including Survey and Settlement cost.	Suspense accounts.	Payment of debts.	Investments.			(a) Revenue and cess due to Government.	(b) Rent and cess due to superior landlords.	(c) Other debts.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Patna	Belwergunj ... W.	99	9,230	17,159	7,148	...	...	...	776		424	2,636	...	...	24	272	...	...	11,280	483	10,011	...	8,21,209	...
	Kazmi Begum ... "	5,165	11,752	11,752	2,260	1,106	1,106	...	514		198	2,593	...	...	845	337	...	...	6,747	778	9,492	1,106	29,594	...
	Mehdatunissa Begum "	3,954	9,933	9,933	659	1,307	1,307	...	462		137	800	...	...	40	413	50	...	2,561	2,057	9,274	1,307	14,446	...
	Total ...	9,218	30,915	38,844	10,067	2,413	2,413	...	1,752		759	6,029	...	...	909	1,022	50	...	20,588	3,318	28,777	2,413	8,65,249	...
Saran	Debendra Prasad Jain W.	18,085	8,274	13,793	1,135	109	238	...	1,709		1,810	1,781	...	...	388	2,206	8,950	...	17,979	1,780	12,658	238	3,80,640	32,230
	B. Krishna Madhab Pd. Singh and B. Beni Madhab Pd. Singh. "	1,342	4,526	8,344	1,776	...	...	...	105		...	...	...	...	...	−1,716	...	...	165	2,010	6,568	...	1,49,156	...
	Bahuria Diolcchan Kuar. "	1,913	4,708	9,601	1,562	...	...	...	93		...	...	...	...	...	−1,523	973	...	1,105	712	8,039	...	1,30,544	...
	Total ...	21,340	17,508	31,738	4,473	109	238	...	1,907		1,810	1,781	...	...	388	−1,033	9,923	...	19,249	4,511	27,265	938	6,60,340	32,230
Tirhut ... Champaran ...	Bettiah ... W.	10,70,080	5,97,580	5,97,580	5,97,594	1,470	1,811	1,203	3,58,897		36,152	2,95,807	1,33,948	2,81,079	4,76,162	3,66,836	2,637	38,216	25,88,531	7,66,558	5	638	1,505	34,87,936
	Total ...	10,70,080	5,97,580	5,97,580	5,97,594	1,470	1,841	1,203	3,58,897		36,152	2,95,807	1,33,948	2,81,079	4,76,162	3,66,836	2,637	38,216	25,88,531	7,66,558	5	638	1,505	43,87,936
Darbhanga ...	Jogiara ... T.	1,625	1,964	1,964	1,964	...	...	...	691		...	...	1,200	...	12	794	...	...	4,661	188	...	...	...	...
	Total ...	1,625	1,964	1,964	1,964	...	...	...	691		...	...	1,200	...	12	794	...	...	4,661	188	...	...	...	...
	Divisional Total ...	10,93,045	6,17,052	6,31,282	6,04,031	1,579	2,079	1,203	3,61,495		37,962	2,97,588	1,35,148	2,81,079	4,76,562	3,66,597	12,560	38,516	26,12,441	7,71,257	27,270	876	6,61,845	35,20,166
Bhagalpur ... Monghyr ...	Gidhaur ... U. E.	1,46,695	48,531	55,145	55,145	187	233	233	42,119		20,188	69,541	8,353	12,004	36,295	31,748	22,876	...	2,98,502	1,21,766	...	...	...	...
	Bahadurpur ... "	1,30,255	29,075	29,075	28,772	6,502	6,627	6,376	15,960		7,082	48,483	5,985	1,810	12,760	14,538	...	...	11,41,764	48,845	...	251	...	...
	Shakarpura ... "	2,980	965	965	965	...	...	...	523		60	1,800	5	69	211	683	...	...	4,316	469	...	...	...	...
	Hussainabad ... "	29,634	18,426	35,062	13,840	5,425	9,161	950	7,012		1,861	2,000	...	467	1,594	7,610	11,206	...	46,540	13,243	21,223	8,018	4,23,543	...
	Dhamna ... "	10,613	303	303	303	2,170	7,609	2,170	1,638		1,145	4,094	...	296	530	2,193	2,455	...	14,724	67	...	5,439	...	...
	Total ...	3,20,177	97,300	1,20,550	99,025	14,284	23,630	9,729	67,152		30,336	1,35,918	14,343	14,646	51,390	56,770	36,537	...	5,05,846	1,84,395	21,223	13,708	4,23,543	...
Bhagalpur ...	Cleveland ... T.	312	9	9	9	...	...	...	60		...	...	144	...	11	...	...	...	224	854	...	...	...	...
	Total ...	312	9	9	9	...	...	...	60		...	...	144	...	11	...	...	...	224	854	...	...	...	...
Purnea ...	Khagra ... W.	1,09,686	2,30,724	4,38,576	2,46,928	51,997	1,37,426	39,296	38,108		37,299	28,097	360	3	30,236	38,749	1,800	13,125	4,74,001	1,019	1,91,654	98,130	14,48,638	...
	Umanath ... W.	20,557	3,852	3,862	3,850	12,688	14,951	14,355	8,659		2,731	6,328	1,232	...	5,716	1,147	14,141	...	58,159	4,049	...	596	18,870	...
	Mirza Muhammad Husain's T.	927	647	647	649	5	5	...	39		...	...	648	...	93	...	...	...	1,429	1,927	...	5	...	94-3-0
	Total ...	1,31,170	2,35,233	4,43,085	2,51,427	64,690	1,52,382	53,651	46,806		40,030	34,425	2,240	3	36,045	39,896	15,941	13,125	5,33,589	6,944	1,91,654	98,731	14,67,508	94-6-0
Santal Parganas ...	Nankara Sankara W.	24,622	4,839	4,839	5,502	122	122	122	5,739		1,302	12,226	790	1,112	18,407	1,649	...	626	47,475	8,013	...	...	...	620
	Total ...	24,632	4,839	4,839	5,502	122	122	122	5,739		1,302	12,226	790	1,112	18,407	1,649	...	626	47,475	8,013	...	...	...	62
	Divisional Total ...	4,76,281	3,37,381	5,68,483	3,55,963	79,096	1,76,134	63,502	1,19,757		71,668	1,72,569	17,517	15,761	1,05,853	98,315	52,478	13,751	10,87,134	2,00,256	2,12,877	1,12,439	18,91,051	720-6-0
Chota Nagpur. Hazaribagh ...	1. Salgawan ... ... E.	1,262	...	...	...	379	379	379	369		167	286	5	70	58	85	800	...	2,319	24	...	...	7,127	...
	2. Manai ... ... E.	769	...	...	...	259	259	259	378		176	130	29	75	100	357	361	...	1,865	5	...	...	23,431	...
	3. Asko ... ... E.	1,079	410	410	410	...	...	...	458		184	368	56	50	354	86	230	...	2,256	57	...	...	18,322	...
	4. Dhargulli ... ... E.	11,924	...	...	...	3,539	3,539	3,530	3,311		1,609	4,138	246	379	1,333	1,907	6,000	...	22,461	26	...	...	46,044	...
	5. Maraphari ... ... E.	2,967	...	...	...	512	512	...	753		176	733	51	137	877	36	1,500	...	4,253	15	...	512	9,046	...
	6. Dhanwar ... ... E.	35,890	11,815	11,817	11,817	145	145	145	11,118		5,295	11,112	598	379	5,986	7,509	19,500	668	74,727	126	...	...	1,23,243	...
	7. Amli ... ... E.	1,604	...	...	...	527	527	527	372		165	454	12	55	104	203	907	...	2,799	53	...	...	100	...
	8. Manjhladih ... E.	319	151	151	151	204	204	204	814		151	12	31	...	696	541	2,300	...	5,500	9	...	...	7,760	...
	9. Sikri ... ... E.	21,067	...	...	...	5,330	5,330	5,330	6,153		2,564	2,459	250	366	2,667	7,055	11,000	107	37,951	117	...	...	2,01,506	...
	10. Dadikalan... ... E.	11,397	...	...	...	4,090	4,090	4,090	3,258		1,237	3,691	249	751	1,330	6,042	1,853	93	22,593	6	...	...	53,629	...
	11. Karbarbari-/6/- ... E.	1,615	...	...	...	751	751	751	652		221	650	18	...	574	600	...	120	3,586	24	...	...	6,860	...
	12. Kathamdiri ... E.	8,057	...	...	...	3,266	3,266	3,266	2,218		1,118	1,131	...	188	513	1,755	...	44	10,223	40	...	...	53,011	...
	13. Deotan ... ... E.	1,411	367	1,565	379	...	...	...	194		916	732	...	...	32	258	...	...	2,571	3	1,186	...	...	...
	14. Hosir II ... E.	2,016	...	...	...	381	381	381	550		322	549	17	...	200	1,029	...	...	3,048	11	...	...	...	...
	15. Bairia ... ... W.	622	...	...	...	...	...	21	1,080		53	360	...	...	194	1,044	...	...	2,752	144	...	...	...	...
	16. Gowan ... ... W.	14,913	7,365	7,365	2,934	193	193	193	11,691		1,696	5,529	...	7	20,834	6,402	54,250	...	1,03,626	132	4,431	...	6,05,732	...
	Total ...	1,11,922	20,108	21,308	15,691	19,576	19,576	19,085	43,364		16,050	32,394	1,561	3,052	35,652	34,989	99,361	1,031	3,02,430	1,152	5,617	512	11,54,911	...

APPENDIX II—concld.

Disbursements of Wards', Encumbered and Trust Estates for the year 1939-40—concld.

Division and District.	Estates.		Net income, i.e., column 6 of Appendix I minus columns 6 and 9 of Appendix II.	Disbursement on account of— Revenue and cess due to Government. Current demand.	Current and arrear demand.	Payment.	Rents and cess due to superior landlords. Current demand.	Current and arrear demand.	Payment.	Management. Expenditure.	Percentage of cost of management charges (column 10) on recurring demand (columns 4 and 12 of Appendix I).	Other disbursements. Law expenses.	Maintenance and education allowances of the proprietor and family.	Schools, dispensaries and charities.	Improvements.	Miscellaneous, including Survey and Settlement costs.	Suspense accounts.	Payment of debts.	Investments.	Total disbursements.	Cash balance at the close of the year.	Debts due at the close of the year. (a) Revenue and cess due to Government.	(b) Rents and cess due to superior landlords.	(c) Other debts.	Market value of Government securities at the close of the year.
1	2		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Chota Nagpur—concld. Ranchi ...	1. Tupudana	E.	3,812	...	...	...	322	322	322	395	[illegible]	193	1,611	...	...	129	2,218	...	...	5,067	124	...	...	6,352	...
	2. Tamar ...	,,	4,932	...	...	...	11,768	20,287	11,920	2,460	[illegible]	1,273	3,640	96	...	1,059	2,998	...	...	23,740	282	...	9,067	25,283	...
	3. Guru ...	,,	1,452	...	...	...	255	255	255	181	[illegible]	257	821	...	...	31	913	947	...	3,405	88	...	...	5,535	...
	4. Biru ...	,,	10,939	...	...	...	10,919	10,919	6,657	2,940	[illegible]	2,177	4,048	24	601	1,251	5,400	3,743	...	20,641	288	...	4,262	22,698	...
	5. Bhargaon	...	1,264	...	...	...	285	285	285	150	[illegible]	202	495	...	...	51	447	763	...	2,393	57	...	...	9,411	...
	6. Narekela ...	...	1,493	...	...	...	181	181	181	153	[illegible]	143	538	...	456	157	287	700	...	2,615	116	...	...	9,908	...
	7. Toto ½ R.N.T.	...	5,350	...	...	...	824	834	684	598	[illegible]	534	2,496	...	...	1,430	1,713	503	...	7,958	9	...	...	4,638	...
	8. Korambe ...	...	6,442	...	...	...	5,235	7,389	7,386	1,504	[illegible]	488	2,318	24	...	412	2,585	3,077	...	17,794	206	...	3	1,62,960	...
	9. Lachragarh	...	4,037	...	...	...	2,742	2,742	2,732	1,003	[illegible]	375	1,462	...	...	261	1,126	2,529	...	9,488	56	...	10	89,393	...
	10. Nawagarh	...	6,294	...	...	...	5,050	5,050	4,797	1,095	[illegible]	376	2,651	...	...	656	1,300	3,014	...	13,069	685	...	...	2,46,067	...
	11. Masmano ...	...	5,471	...	...	...	2,253	2,976	2,623	736	[illegible]	680	2,757	...	...	1,248	4,604	890	...	13,538	61	...	405	42,529	...
	12. Dowara ...	...	2,011	...	...	...	483	1,006	965	341	[illegible]	491	505	12	...	138	881	1,100	...	4,433	27	...	41	32,766	...
	13. Jarlo ...	...	2,583	...	...	...	743	3,269	1,164	391	[illegible]	502	1,313	10	...	213	4,041	...	...	7,634	125	...	2,240	25,005	...
	14. Jarakel ...	...	4,688	...	...	...	2,020	2,020	2,011	747	[illegible]	500	2,304	...	...	309	1,223	1,825	...	8,919	175	...	9	61,674	...
	15. Pandra ...	...	1,594	...	...	...	386	971	1,034	251	[illegible]	176	704	...	...	288	734	581	...	3,828	81	...	...	18,331	...
	16. Barrang ...	...	3,172	...	...	...	835	2,457	483	369	[illegible]	599	1,274	...	60	241	3,718	66	...	6,810	186	...	1,985	46,144	...
	17. Gamharia	...	1,797	...	...	...	327	1,245	173	270	[illegible]	241	1,855	...	...	123	1,362	75	...	3,909	59	...	1,077	16,296	...
	18. Jonha ...	...	669	...	...	...	967	1,734	1,384	345	[illegible]	225	531	...	...	274	952	1,131	...	4,032	51	...	850	10,559	...
	19. Burhadih ...	...	4,231	...	...	...	1,060	1,680	...	553	[illegible]	736	965	24	...	123	1,618	1,601	...	5,620	67	...	...	10,243	...
	20. Sonahatu ...	...	3,259	...	...	...	1,426	2,491	2,488	615	[illegible]	553	1,554	...	...	330	1,573	...	...	7,113	722	...	1,680	22,235	...
	21. Taisar ...	...	2,303	...	...	...	1,481	3,857	1,376	885	[illegible]	433	1,038	...	...	237	1,126	...	...	5,095	96	...	2,421	41,163	...
	22. Siri ...	...	2,962	...	...	...	2,270	2,970	2,970	906	[illegible]	1,058	903	...	5	265	2,302	150	...	8,559	161	...	...	99,652	...
	23. Jamudag ...	...	1,142	...	...	...	996	1,992	...	266	[illegible]	196	452	...	...	62	807	...	...	1,819	74	...	1,992	31,137	...
	24. Lowahatu ...	...	1,352	...	...	...	954	954	630	427	[illegible]	84	785	...	...	95	1,104	...	...	3,125	46	...	324	13,358	...
	25. Chotkibeura	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	42	...	...	42	8	...	...	...	...
	26. Bhounro	...	852	...	...	...	234	346	64	245	[illegible]	107	163	...	...	25	475	287	...	1,366	14	...	257	1,2338	...
	27. Mardhan	...	1,364	...	...	...	1,286	1,286	400	340	[illegible]	79	355	...	...	73	500	516	...	2,263	65	...	886	7,268	...
	28. Bonai	...	2,914	...	...	...	1,694	1,694	...	483	[illegible]	97	...	...	...	18	81	2,665	...	3,344	178	...	1,694	36,096	...
	Total	...	87,379	...	...	...	57,806	81,202	52,284	18,649	[illegible]	12,774	37,758	190	1,122	9,793	46,090	25,163	...	2,03,823	4,107	...	28,706	10,69,219	...
Palamau ...	1. Bisrampur	E.	14,795	6,744	6,744	6,744	...	...	...	4,759	[illegible]	3,065	6,569	131	565	1,645	7,594	11,476	...	43,548	6,186	...	...	3,12,590	...
	2. Sonepura ...	W.	14,128	28,054	28,054	26,816	6	6	6	9,060	[illegible]	8,065	6,928	108	1,187	6,714	11,889	21,984	156	92,913	3,466	...	...	1,30,913	...
	3. Untari ...	E	85,412	17,913	17,913	17,913	94	94	94	10,980	[illegible]	10,067	19,705	3,123	2,091	11,191	21,497	2,000	...	98,660	6,987	...	...	3,16,932	...
	4. Gauryaban (Khapro)	...	3,328	19	19	19	404	404	404	336	[illegible]	539	2,400	...	134	193	969	665	...	5,659	7,357	...	...	50,867	...
	5. Tori ...	...	6,315	45	45	45	14,745	22,491	17,866	4,930	[illegible]	2,446	3,097	...	597	2,776	5,539	7,793	...	45,089	812	...	...	2,33,366	...
	6. Geruasati ...	...	2,672	5	17	17	566	1,052	45	293	[illegible]	238	2,000	...	90	137	1,253	...	...	4,073	3	...	4,625	58,071	...
	7. Barahi ...	...	1,756	...	...	...	497	971	10	290	[illegible]	79	1,500	3	58	127	190	...	...	2,257	616	...	1,007	41,033	...
	8. Nagarpratappur	...	4,755	2,631	3,381	3,381	151	183	2	891	[illegible]	1,065	2,554	6	27	298	672	...	...	8,623	1,678	...	961	1,02,871	...
	9. Nawdiha ...	...	2,407	640	640	673	288	566	278	291	[illegible]	330	1,794	...	11	211	878	...	...	4,466	48	...	181	58,239	...
	10. Kanchanpur	...	1,382	17	17	17	429	649	649	597	[illegible]	225	2,100	...	131	230	1,720	...	...	5,669	836	...	288	38,866	...
	11. Haidernagar	...	2,247	194	304	228	722	1,444	...	445	[illegible]	800	989	...	181	180	806	...	...	3,629	66	77	1,444	24,937	...
	12. Garhwa (A. D. S.)	...	28	154	191	191	200	200	200	...	[illegible]	...	...	...	...	...	10	...	...	402	19	...	...	46,182	...
	13. Garhwa (T. S.) ...	...	70	117	128	128	500	500	500	...	[illegible]	...	...	...	...	...	10	...	...	638	99	...	...	44,517	...
	14. Garhwa (G. P. S.)	...	170	70	70	...	100	100	100	...	[illegible]	...	...	...	...	...	20	...	...	120	172	...	...	60.479	...
	15. Chakla	...	92	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	[illegible]	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	103	...	...	14.976	...
	16. Chainpur ...	W.	1,261	...	...	...	...	...	...	377	[illegible]	2,511	3,320	1,742	50	806	3,032	...	...	11.838	421	...	...	...	...
	17. Pokhraha ...	E.	321	...	...	...	...	144	...	11	[illegible]	122	179	...	...	39	239	...	...	590	...	...	114	3.411	...
	Total	...	91,039	56,603	57,523	56,172	18,703	28,774	20,154	33,190	[illegible]	29,552	53,135	5,112	5,123	24,547	56,318	43,918	156	3,27,377	22,247	76	8,620	14,38,290	...
Manbhum ...	1. Barabhum ...	W.	54,790	22,883	22,883	22,883	...	...	...	8,862	[illegible]	7,084	39,365	280	1,877	9,607	20,448	...	...	1,10,406	2,381	...	...	...	...
	2. Katras ...	E,	33,520	18,100	22,750	12,927	49	49	40	7,799	[illegible]	1,256	8,281	50	1,106	41,389	15,324	2,05,333	...	88,262 2,05,333	...	9,828	9	2,90,755 +10,03,728	...
	3. Tundi ...	E.	19,356	6,391	6,391	6,391	...	...	...	4,108	[illegible]	1,548	2,461	24	659	4,153	7,492	10,138	...	34,274	135	...	...	3,04,584 8,265	...
	4. Baghmundi ...	E.	16,085	7,075	7,075	7,075	74	74	...	2,055	[illegible]	1,299	5,303	115	270	1,278	6,018	6,400	...	30,713	116	...	74	67,758	...
	5. Bharamahal...	E.	2,511	...	...	...	897	897	...	437	[illegible]	37	15	...	...	49	520	...	...	1,058	2,105	...	897	43,379	...
	Total	...	1,26,262	54,449	59,099	49,276	1,020	1,020	40	24,161	[illegible]	11,224	55,425	469	4,002	53,776	49,802	16,538 2,05,333	...	2,64,713 205,333	4,739	9,828	980	7,05,356 10,11,993	...
Singhbhum ...	1. Anandpur ...	W.	11,917	1,358	1,358	1,358	34	89	89	3,414	[illegible]	1,986	7,651	100	25	3,073	...	...	...	17,696	5,107	...	...	...	...
	2. Charai and Marum	E.	528	35	70	35	...	...	...	3	[illegible]	...	...	...	...	...	...	916	...	954	...	35	...	4,245	...
	Total	...	12,445	1,393	1,428	1,393	34	89	89	3,417	[illegible]	1,986	7,651	100	25	3,073	...	916	...	18,650	5,107	35	...	4,245	...
	Divisional Total	...	4,20,047	1,32,553	1,39,358	1,22,532	96,938	1,30,661	91,652	1,22,781	[illegible]	71,586	1,86,363	7,482	13,323	1,37,041	1,67,199	1,85,696	1,187	11,16,993 2,05,333	37,352	5,551	38,818	43,02,021 10,11,993	...
	Grand Total	...	20,07,591	11,17,901	13,77,067	10,92,593	1,80,026	3,11,287	1,56,357	6,05,795	[illegible]	1,81,975	6,62,549	1,60,097	3,10,163	7,10,365	6,53,133	2,50,984 2,05,333	53,164	43,37,155 2,05,333	10,12,188	2,84,475	1,54,546	78,10,166 10,11,993	...

†Debts due to the Nawagarh coal Company.

APPENDIX III.

Classification of the balances of estates in which the arrear is more than 25 *per cent of the current demand for the year* 1939-40.

Division.	District.	Name of Estate.	Current demand.	Barred by limitation.	Balances. For which the tenants deny liability, their objections being classed as— Valid.	Invalid.	Admitted and legally recoverable. Which cannot be realized owing to the poverty of the tenants or other adequate cause.	Which the tenants are able to pay and ought to be made to pay.	Total.	Percentage of total balances on the current demand.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Patna ...	Patna ...	Belwarganj ... W.	9,515	...	...	...	...	7,537	7,537	79·2
		Kazmi Begam ... ,,	34,770	...	...	...	...	27,345	27,345	78·6
		Mehdatunnissa Begam ,,	25,672	...	...	...	...	55,909	55,909	217·7
		Total ...	69,957	...	...	...	...	90,791	90,791	129·8
Tirhut ...	Saran ...	Devendra Prasad ... W.	43,430	15,808	...	...	...	56,791	72,599	167·17
		Beni Madhab and Krishna Madhab. ,,	15,186	1,078	...	...	...	26,398	27,476	180·92
		Bahuria Deolochan Kour ,,	12,887	1,457	...	...	...	22,364	23,821	184·84
		Total ...	71,503	18,343	...	...	...	1,05,553	1,23,896	173·27
	Champaran ...	Bettiah ... W.	28,99,369	...	32,325	...	45,969	32,16,575	32,95,869	113·67
		Total ...	28,99,369	...	32,325	...	45,969	32,16,575	32,95,869	113·67
	Darbhanga ...	Jogiara ... ... T.	4,118	...	...	...	...	1,681	1,681	40·82
		Total ...	4,118	...	...	...	...	1,681	1,681	40·82
		Divisional Total ...	29,74,990	18,343	32,325	...	45,969	33,23,809	34,31,446	115·00
Bhagalpur ...	Monghyr ...	Gidhaur ... ... W.	2,83,232	3,86,519	...	...	11,736	6,33,574	10,31,829	364·3
		Bahadurpur ... W.	1,85,663	...	...	2,048	...	2,71,199	2,74,147	147·7
		Shakerpura ... W.	4,550	...	...	...	...	2,571	2,571	56·5
		Hossainabad ... W.	67,594	1,914	...	...	...	70,131	72,045	106·6
		Dhamna ... W.	16,988	2,499	...	...	...	27,616	30,115	183·1
		Total ...	5,58,026	3.90 932	...	2.948	11,736	10,05,091	14,10,707	253·0
	Bhagalpur ...	Cleveland ... T.	322	...	...	...	...	287	287	89·1
		Total ...	322	...	...	...	...	287	287	89·1
	Purnea ...	Khagra ... W.	4,45,814	3,56,121	50,453	34,300	...	9,37,433	13,78,307	309·2
		Umanath ... W.	46,790	63,013	...	...	19,423	46,105	1,28,541	274·7
		Mirza Muhammad Hussain's T.	1,312	...	...	...	...	477	477	36·3
		Total ...	4,93,916	4,19,134	50,453	34,300	19,423	9,84,015	15,07,325	305·2
	Santal Parganas...	Nankar Sankara ... W.	33,116	442	...	...	...	75,667	76,109	229·9
		Total ...	33,116	442	...	...	...	75,667	76,109	229·9
		Divisional Total ...	10,85,380	8,10,508	50,453	37,248	31,159	20,65,060	29,04,428	276·03
Chota Nagpur ...	Hazaribagh ...	1. Saigawan ... ... E.	2,680	...	310	...	1,370	268	1,948	72·6
		2. Manai ... ... ,,	2,725	...	342	...	1,733	429	2,504	91·8
		3. Asko ... ... ,,	3,311	...	1,078	...	4,371	341	5,790	174·8
		4. Dhargulli ... ... ,,	24,006	...	3,426	...	9,025	5,012	17,463	72·7
		5. Marapharl ... ... ,,	5,450	...	1,567	...	5,279	...	6,846	125·6
		6. Dhanwar ... ... ,,	80,090	...	27,105	...	92,568	8,349	1,28,022	159·8
		7. Amli ... ... ,,	2,682	...	...	...	975	12	987	36·9
		8. Manjhladih ... ... ,,	5,899	...	44	...	3,112	115	3,271	55·4
		9. Sikri ... ... ,,	44,619	...	4,982	...	29,955	2,659	37,596	84·2
		10. Dadikalan ... ... ,,	23,610	...	2,420	...	16,947	1,095	20,462	86·6
		11. Karharbari (6 as.) ... ,,	4,728	...	122	...	453	9,265	9,840	208·1
		12. Kathamdiri ... ... ,,	16,029	...	3,450	...	14,626	8,710	26,786	167·1
		13. Deotan ... ... ,,	1,405	...	...	...	1,071	...	1,071	76·3
		14. Hosir II ... ... W.	3,987	...	...	...	3'757	728	4,485	112·4
		15. Bairia ... ... W.	3,744	...	...	...	1,126	...	1,126	30·0
		16. Gawan ... ... ,,	30 957	...	6,161	...	...	38,872	45,033	145·4
		Total ...	2,55,722	...	51,007	..	1,86,368	75,855	3,13,230	122·4
	Ranchi ...	1. Tupudana ... E.	4,274	...	709	...	4,919	1,224	6,852	160·3
		2. Tamar ... ... ,,	24,057	...	2,458	1,306	28,569	25,120	57,453	238·8
		3. Guru ... ... ,,	1,935	...	26	...	1,696	365	1,987	102·7
		4. Biru ... ... ,,	26,863	...	371	1,096	13,828	15,390	30,685	113·5
		5. Bhargaon ... ... ,,	1.987	...	...	...	1,894	305	2,199	110·7
		6. Narekela ... ... ,,	1,673	...	...	...	1,796	224	2,020	120·7
		7. Toto ½ R. N. T. ... ,,	6,450	...	66	...	4 262	168	4,496	69·7
		8. Korambe ... ... ,,	16,906	...	14	56	16,158	7,078	23,306	138·7
		9. Lachragarh ... ... ,,	9,569	...	435	...	8,528	3.723	12,686	132·6
		10. Nawagarh ... ... ,,	15,221	64	46	...	15,235	1,444	16,789	110·3
		11. Masmano ... ... ,,	7,443	...	103	1.527	7,350	3,585	12,565	168·8
		12. Dowaru ... ... ,,	2,937	...	303	...	1.144	4,181	5,628	191·6
		13. Jario ... ... ,,	4,358	...	525	—725	5,280	1,288	7,818	179·4
		14. Jarakol ... ... ,,	8,021	23	600	...	7,838	3,010	11,471	143·0
		15. Pandra ... ... ,,	2,469	...	409	1,488	3,414	452	5,763	233·4
		16. Barrang ... ... ,,	4,970	...	40	...	6,545	1,736	8,321	167·4
		17. Gamharia ... ,,	2,752	...	240	...	3,925	896	5,061	183·9
		18. Jonha ... ... ,,	2,409	...	1,198	...	2,776	46	4,020	166·8
		19. Burhadih ... ... ,,	5,574	...	251	...	7,936	2,140	10.327	185·3
		20. Sonahatu ... ... ,,	5,352	...	...	...	8,632	1,453	10,085	188·4
		21. Taisar ... ... ,,	6,952	...	10	...	4,808	937	5,755	82·8
		22. Siri ... ... ,,	8,240	...	1,309	960	9.886	3,300	15,361	186·3
		23. J-mudag ...	2,241	...	551	...	2.166	924	3,641	162·5
		24. Loahatu ... ... ,,	2,914	23	...	...	3,372	671	4,066	139·5
		25. Chotki-beura ... ,,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
		26. Bhounro ... ... ,,	2,682	...	5	...	3,082	3	3,089	115·2
		27. Mardhan ... ... ,,	3,432	...	7	...	3,378	2,149	5,534	161·1
		28. Bonai ... ... ,,	4,914	...	54	...	4,152	298	4,504	91·7
		Total ...	1,86,494	110	9,730	7,164	1,82,469	82,009	2,81,482	150·9

APPENDIX III—*concld.*

Classification of the balances of estates in which the arrear is more than 25 *per cent of the current demand for the year* 1939-40—concld.

Division.	District.	Name of Estate.	Current demand.	Barred by limitation.	Balances. For which the tenants deny liability, their objections being classed as— Valid.	Invalid.	Admitted and legally recoverable. Which cannot be realized owing to the poverty of the tenants or other adequate cause.	Which the tenants are able to pay and ought to be made to pay.	Total.	Percentage of total balances on the current demand.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
Chota Nagpur—*concld.*	Palamau ...	1. Bisrampur ... ... E.	24,674	...	...	...	13,864	51,088	63,952	258·7	
		2. Sonepura ... ... W.	46,488	...	...	...	14,409	93,350	1,07,759	231·7	
		3. Untari ... E.	68,071	...	...	...	1,00,960	1,68,147	2,69,107	395·3	
		4. Gauriyaban (Khapro) ... ,,	3,303	...	...	...	8,545	8.176	16,721	506·2	
		5. Tori ... ... ,,	20,041	...	...	...	10,179	53,065	63,244	217·7	
		6. Gerussoti ... ... ,,	3,080	...	...	...	4,844	7,043	11,887	383·5	
		7. Barahi ... ... ,,	3,076	...	...	...	531	1,928	2,459	118·3	
		8. Nagatpratappur ... ,,	7,237	...	...	...	850	10,289	11,139	153·9	
		9. Nandiha ... ... ,,	2,948	...	...	...	93	2,139	2,232	75·8	
		10. Kanohanpur ... ,,	3,059	...	...	...	2,051	5,584	7,635	249·5	
		11. Haidernagar ... ,,	2,695	...	...	...	234	6,025	6,259	231·8	
		12. Garhwa (A. D. S.) ... ,,	2,829	...	...	...	43	3,205	3,248	114·8	
		13. Garhwa (T. S.) ... ,,	3,630	...	...	...	4	4,092	4,096	112·8	
		14. Garhwa (G. P. S.) ... ,,	2,414	...	...	...	109	3,325	3,334	138·5	
		15. Chakla ... ... ,,	1,454	...	...	...	1,307	2,486	3,793	260·8	
		16. Chainpur ... ... W.	...	...	...	...	17,952	75,154	93,106	...	Released in 1939-40.
		17. Pokhrsha ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	342	1,847	2,189	...	Ditto.
		Total ...	2,03,018	...	...	...	1,75,317	4,96,833	6,72,150	330·0	
	Manbhum ...	1. Barabhum... W.	73,406	132	9,399	...	...	93,899	1,03,430	149·7	
		2 Katras ... E.	49,445	25	10,908	...	...	42,782	53,715	108·6	
		3. Tundi ... ... ,,	36,356	24	17,919	...	...	45,703	63,646	173·3	
		4. Baghmundi ... ... ,,	26,183	205	7,566	...	...	36,335	44,106	168·4	
		5. Bhora ahal ... ... ,,	4.320	590	2.308	...	...	4,236	7,134	169·05	
		Total ...	1,89,609	976	48,100	...	...	2.22,955	2,72.031	143·4	
	Singhbhum ...	1. Anandpur ... ... W	17,490	1,381	9,234	3,471	15,843	4,183	34,112	195·0	
		2. Charai and Murum ... E.	543	...	...	...	...	316	316	58·3	
		Total ...	18,032	1,381	9,234	3,471	15,843	4,499	34,428	190·9	
		Divisional Total ...	8,52,875	2,467	1,18,071	10,635	5,59,997	8,82,151	15,73,334	184·4	
		GRAND TOTAL ...	49,83,202	9,31,318	2,00,849	47,883	8,37,125	63,61,811	80,79,986	...	

APPENDIX IV.

Operations of the Certificate Procedure in the Wards, Encumbered and Trust Estates for the year 1939-40.

Division.	District.	Estate.	Number of certificates pending at the beginning of the year.	Number of certificates filed during the year.	Total for disposal.	Number satisfied during the year.	Number struck off.	Total number disposed of.	Number pending at the close of the year.	Amount of demand covered by certificates in column 6.	Total amount realized by certificates.	Total amount unrealized and outstanding.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
										Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Patna	Patna ...	Belwarganj ... W.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
		Kazmi Begam's ,,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
		Mehdatun Nisa Begam's W.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
		Total ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Tirhut ...	Saran ...	Debendra Prasad, Jain W.	...	352	352	66	...	66	286	7,867	1,252	6,615
	Do. ...	Beni Madhab and Krishna Madhab, W.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Do. ...	Bahuria Deslochan Kuar. W.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
		Total ...	...	352	352	66	...	66	286	7,867	1,252	6,615
	Champaran ...	Bettiah ... W.	1,962	1,382	3,344	2,580	10	2,590	754	1,19,226	74,242	44,909
		Total ...	1,962	1,382	3,344	2,580	10	2,590	754	1,19,226	74,242	44,909
	Darbhanga	Jogiara ... T.	3	...	3	3	...	3	...	5	5	...
		Total ...	3	...	3	3	...	3	...	5	5	...
		Divisional Total ...	1,965	1,734	3,699	2,649	10	2,659	1,040	1,27,098	75,499	51,524
Bhagalpur...	Monghyr ...	Gidhaur ... W.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
		Bahadurpur ... W.	544	1,037	1,581	795	3	798	783	59,353	31,112	28,241
		Shakerpura ... W.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
		Hossainabad ... W.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
		Dhamna ... W.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
		Total ...	544	1,037	1,581	795	3	798	783	59,353	31,112	28,241
	Bhagalpur ...	Cleveland ... T.	1	1	2	...	...	...	2	249-14-9	...	249-14-9
		Total ...	1	1	2	...	...	...	2	249-14-9	...	249-14-9
	Purnea ...	Khagra ... W.	352	550	902	560	33	593	309	1,35,100	50,376	84,72[illegible]
		Umanath ... W.	...	158	158	86	...	86	72	6,832	2,954	3,87[illegible]
		Mirza Muhammad Hussain's T.	...	2	2	2	...	2	...	332	340	...
		Total ...	352	710	1,062	648	33	681	381	1,42,264	53,670	88,6[illegible]
	Santal Parganas.	Nankar Sankara W.	320	50	370	164	...	164	206	54,401	670	45,9[illegible]
		Total ...	320	50	370	164	...	164	206	54,401	670	45,9[illegible]
		Divisional Total ...	1,217	1,798	3,015	1,607	36	1,643	1,372	2,56,367-14-9	85,452	1,63,091-14-[illegible]

APPENDIX IV—*concld.*

Operations of the Certificate Procedure in the Wards, Encumbered and Trust Estates for the year 1939-40.

Division.	District.	Estate.	Number of certificates pending at the beginning of the year.	Number of certificates filed during the year.	Total for disposal.	Number satisfied during the year.	Number struck off.	Total number disposed of.	Number pending at the close of the year.	Amount of demand covered by certificates in column 6.	Total amount realized by certificates.	Total amount unrealized and outstanding.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
										Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
ota Nagpur	Hazaribagh...	1. Salgawan ... E.	3	...	3	...	...	...	3	113	8	105	
		2. Manai ... E.	3	...	3	2	...	2	1	230	219	11	
		3. Asko ... E.	2	20	22	11	...	11	11	598	199	399	
		4. Dhargulli ... E.	29	...	29	22	...	22	7	2,064	1,593	471	
		5. Maraphari ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		6. Dhanwar ... E.	70	382	452	218	1	319	233	12,477	4,123	8,354	
		7. Amli ... E.	1	...	1	1	...	1	...	28	28	...	
		8. Manjhiadih ... E.	...	8	8	4	...	4	4	99	26	73	
		9. Sikri ... E.	50	...	50	27	...	27	23	1,219	669	550	
		10. Dadikalan ... E.	38	5	43	28	...	28	15	1,448	621	837	
		11. Karharbari 6 as. E.	9	42	51	24	1	25	26	964	434	530	
		12. Kathamdiri ... E.	43	18	61	34	...	34	27	4,043	1,306	2,737	
		13. Deotan ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		14. Hosir II ... E.	...	28	28	16	...	16	12	444	172	272	
		15. Bairia ... W	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		16. Gawan ... W.	69	69	138	90	...	90	48	3,004	1,898	1,106	
		Total ...	317	572	889	477	2	479	410	26,731	11,296	15,435	
	Ranchi ...	1. Siri ... E	88	...	88	69	...	69	19	2,830	2,186	644	
		2. Tupudana ... E.	54	...	54	20	...	20	34	1,197	744	453	
		3. Korambe ... E.	58	136	194	75	5	80	114	7,441	2,663	4,778	
		4. Bhargaon ... E.	18	9	27	7	2	9	18	311	151	160	
		5. Guru ... E.	11	4	15	9	...	9	6	398	235	163	
		6 Toto ½ R.N.T. E.	16	107	123	68	2	70	53	1,739	1,441	298	
		7. Lachragarh ... E.	42	23	65	14	4	18	47	4,367	1,356	3,011	
		8. Masmano ... E.	119	...	119	43	...	43	76	3,518	1,613	1,903	
		9. Dowaru ... E.	45	56	101	33	...	33	68	983	328	655	
		10. Jario ... E.	66	12	78	16	...	16	62	2,314	1,306	908	
		11. Tamar ... E.	33	113	146	58	...	58	88	22,339	7,198	15,031	
		12. Biru ... E.	32	90	122	80	8	88	34	3,367	2,535	1,132	
		13. Jarakel ... E.	62	35	97	58	...	58	39	4,665	2,632	2,033	
		14. Pandra ... E.	37	11	48	21	...	21	27	1,078	403	675	
		15. Barraug ... E.	61	24	85	17	...	17	68	1,594	413	1,181	
		16. Jonba ... E.	13	...	13	2	...	2	11	433	150	273	
		17. Gamharia ... E.	25	15	40	19	...	19	21	1,034	171	863	
		18. Barhadih ... E.	29	51	80	61	...	61	19	1,579	1,314	265	
		19. Taisar ... E.	...	8	8	2	...	2	6	805	256	549	
		20. Sonahatu ... E.	64	78	142	84	...	84	58	2,442	1,519	923	
		21. Nawagarh ... E.	33	40	73	50	1	51	22	4,294	3,810	484	
		22. Narekela ... E.	19	6	25	16	6	22	3	701	217	484	
		23. Jamudag ... E.	...	1	1	1	...	1	...	6	6	...	
		24. Loabatu ... E.	...	44	44	11	...	11	33	775	320	455	
		25. Bhounro ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		26. Banai ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		27. Mardhan ... E.	...	34	34	13	...	13	21	2,123	178	1945	
		Total ...	925	897	1,822	817	28	875	947	72,411	33,145	39,266	
	Palamau ...	1. Bisrampur ... E.	108	133	241	146	17	163	78	11,277	6,985	4,293	
		2 Sonepura ... U.	90	262	352	153	28	184	168	27,197	10,981	16,216	
		3. Untari ... E.	375	650	1,025	583	90	673	352	36,941	13,883	23,058	
		4. (Gauriaban), Khapro. E.	121	89	210	85	47	132	78	6,585	2,389	4,146	
		5. Tori ... E.	266	8	274	186	29	215	59	18,136	10,207	7,929	
		6. Geruasoti ... E.	29	66	95	42	6	48	47	1,573	685	888	
		7. Barahi ... E.	7	...	7	6	...	6	1	154	98	56	
		8. Nagarpratappur E.	39	...	39	30	1	31	8	2,506	1,227	1,279	
		9. Naudiha ... E.	1	12	13	4	...	4	8	760	162	598	
		10. Kanchanpur... E.	60	11	71	48	10	58	13	1,725	1,000	716	
		11. Haidarnagar ... E.	...	40	40	21	...	21	19	1,144	355	789	
		12. Garhwa (A. D.S.) E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		13. Garhwa (T. S. ... E	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		14. Garhwa (G. P. S.). ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		15. Chakla ... W.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		16. Chainpur ... E.	296	...	296	...	...	...	296	...	...	...	
		17. Pokhraba ... E.	11	17	28	...	...	...	28	...	...	...	
		Total ...	1,403	1,288	2,690	1,307	228	1,535	1,155	1,07,948	47,891	59,967	
	Manbhum ...	1. Barabhum ... W.	125	770	895	705	...	705	190	33,876	23,275	11,601	
		2. Katras ... E.	99	231	330	300	...	300	30	9,533	6,277	3,256	
		3. Tundi ... E.	47	254	301	215	7	222	79	27,678	5,930	21,748	
		4. Baghmundi ... E.	4	198	202	171	3	174	28	7,355	4,432	2,923	
		5. Bhoramahal E.	...	7	7	2	...	2	5	282	129	153	
		Total ...	275	1,460	1,735	1,393	10	1,403	332	73,724	39,043	39,681	
	Singhbhum ...	1. Anandpur ... W.	112	131	243	138	1	139	104	11,690	2,583	9,107	
		2. Charai and Marum. ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Total ...	112	131	243	138	1	139	104	11,690	2,583	9,107	
		Divisional Total ...	3,031	4,348	7,379	4,162	269	4,431	2,948	2,97,504	1,34,043	1,63,456	
		Grand Total ...	6,213	7,880	14,093	8,418	315	8,733	5,360	6,80,870	2,94,099	3,78,072	

APPENDIX V.

Civil suits filed for recovery of rents and cesses due to the Wards, Encumbered and Trust Estates for the year 1939-40.

Division.	District.	Estate.	Number of civil suits pending from last year.	Number filed during the year.	Total for disposal.	Number disposed of during the year.	Number pending more than six months.	Number pending less than six months.	Total number pending.	Amount of demand covered by civil suits in column 6.	Amount decreed.	Amount recovered.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
										Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Patna...	Patna ...	Belwarganj ... W.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Kazmi Begum's ... W.	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	
		Mehdat-un-nisa Begum's W	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Total ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Tirhut	Saran ...	1. Debindra Prasad Jain. W. 2. Beni Madhab and Krishna Madhab. W. 3. Bahuria Deolochan Kuar. W.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Champaran ...	Bettiah ... ... W.	5	8	13	9	4	...	4	73,905 0 0	3,509 0 0	11 0 0	
		Total ...	5	8	13	9	4	...	4	73,905 0 0	3,509 0 0	11 0 0	
	Darbhanga ...	Jogiara ... ... T.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Total ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Divisional Total ...	5	8	13	9	4	...	4	73,905 0 0	3,509 0 0	11 0 0	
Bhagalpur.	Monghyr ...	Gidhaur ... W.	185	1,481	1,666	1,493	44	130	174	1,25,892 0 0	63,335 0 0	4,123 0 0	
		Bahadurpur ... W.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Shakerpura ... W.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Husainabad ... W.	...	67	67	58	9	...	9	7,096 0 0	5,826 0 0	...	
		Dhamra ... W.	...	59	59	59	...	...	...	5,866 0 0	...	...	
		Total ...	185	1,607	1,792	1,609	53	130	183	1,38,854 0 0	63,161 0 0	4,123 0 0	
	Bhagalpur...	Cleveland ... T.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Total ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Purnea ...	Khagra ... ... W.	19	87	166	75	31	...	31	23,479 0 0	21,141 0 0	774 0 0	
		Umanath Misra .. W.	242	32	274	274	..	...	...	8,726 0 0	8,485 0 0	3,210 0 0	
		Mirza Md. Hussain's ... T.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Total ...	261	119	380	349	31	...	31	31,205 0 0	29,626 0 0	3,984 0 0	
	Santal Parganas.	Naukar Sankara ... W.	8	3	11	8	...	3	3	606 8 3	75 3 3	531 5 0	
		Total ...	8	3	11	8	...	3	3	6 6 8 3	75 3 3	531 5 0	
		Divisional Total ...	454	1,729	2,183	1 966	84	133	217	1,70,665 8 3	97,862 3 3	8,638 5 0	
Chota Nagpur	Hazaribagh	Salgawan ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Manai ... ... E.	...	1	1	...	...	1	1	12 0 0	...	...	
		Asko ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Dhargulli ... E.	1	...	1	...	1	...	1	485 0 0	...	...	
		Maraphari ... E.	...	1	1	...	...	1	1	86 0 0	...	...	
		Dhanwar ... E.	1	...	1	1	...	...	...	24 0 0	21 0 0	...	
		Amli ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Manjhiadih ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Sikri ... ... E.	1	...	1	1	...	...	...	50 0 0	60 0 0	50 0 0	
		Dadikalan... ... E.	1	...	1	1	...	...	...	56 0 0	46 0 0	...	
		Karharbari 6 annas ... E.	1	...	1	1	...	...	...	59 0 0	30 0 0	...	
		Kathamdiri ... E.	1	...	1	1	...	...	—	191 0 0	181 0 0	...	
		Deotan ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Hosir II ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Bairia ... ... W.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Gawan ... ... W.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Total ...	6	2	8	5	1	2	3	963 0 0	328 0 0	50 0 0	
	Ranchi ...	Siri ... ... E.	11	2	13	5	8	...	8	423 0 0	506 0 0	227 0 0	
		Tupudana ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Korambe ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Bhargaon ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Guru ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Toto ½ (R. N. T.) ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Lachragarh... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Masmano ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Dowaru ... ... E.	...	19	19	10	9	...	9	230 0 0	296 0 0	95 0 0	
		Jario ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Tamar ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Biru ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Jarakel ... ... E.	2	...	2	2	...	...	...	90 0 0	144 0 0	90 0 0	
		Pandra ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	3	...	3	295 9 0	352 0 0	...	
		Barrang ... ... E.	7	...	7	4	...	...	...	...	...	226 0 0	
		Jonha ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Gamharia ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Burhadih ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Taisar ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Sonahatu ... ... E.	...	9	9	2	7	...	7	166 0 0	191 0 0	177 0 0	
		Nawagarh ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Narekela ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Jamudag ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Lowahatu ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Total ...	20	30	50	23	27	...	27	1,204 0 0	1,489 0 0	815	

APPENDIX V—*concld.*

Division.	District.	Estate.	Number of civil suits pending from last year.	Number filed during the year.	Total for disposal.	Number disposed of during the year.	Number pending more than six months.	Number pending less than six months.	Total number pending.	Amount of demand covered by civil suits in column 6.	Amount decreed.	Amount recovered.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
										Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Chota Nagpur—*concld.*	Palamau ...	Chainpur ... ... W.*	1	...	1	1	...	...	...	46 0 0	46 0 0	...	
		Untari ... ... E.	13	52	65	37	28	...	28	20,959 0 0	21,213 0 0	85 0 0	
		Haidernagar ... E.†	5	...	5	5	...	...	...	1,334 0 0	1,363 0 0	...	
		Gerusoti ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Khapro (Gauriyaban)... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Kanchanpur ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Bisrampur ... ... E.	...	2	2	...	2	...	2	214 0 0	...	...	
		Sonepura... ... W.	...	13	13	10	3	...	3	388 0 0	102 0 0	73 0 0	
		Naudiha ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Nagar Pratappur ... E.	29	...	29	29	...	...	...	69 0 0	69 0 0	...	
		Barahi ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Tori ... ... E.	6	...	6	6	...	...	...	320 0 0	320 0 0	...	
		Pokhraha ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Chakla ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Garhwa (G. P. S.) E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Garhwa (A. D. S.) E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Garhwa (T. S.) E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Total ...	64	67	121	88	33	...	33	23,330 0 0	23,113 0 0	158 0 0	
	Manbhum ...	Barabhum ... W.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Katras ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Tundi ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	
		Baghmundi ... E.	...	11	11	11	...	...	...	188 0 0	188 0 0	5 0 0	
		Bhoramahal ... E.	...	1	1	1	...	...	...	140 0 0	140 0 0	...	
		Total ...	...	12	12	12	...	...	...	328 0 0	328 0 0	5 0 0	
	Singhbhum	Anandpur ... W.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	867 0 0	
		Charai and Murum ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Total ...	...	..	...	...	...	...	..	...	..	867 0 0	
		Divisional Total ...	80	111	191	128	61	2	63	25,825 0 0	25,258 0 0	1,895 0 0	
		Grand Total ...	539	1,848	2,387	2,103	149	135	284	2,70,396 0 0	1,26,629 0 9	10,544 0 0	

*The estate has been released.
† Ditto.

APPENDIX VI.

[VIDE PARAGRAPH 23 OF THE TEXT.]

Return of leases for minerals in force in Wards and Encumbered Estates during the year 1939-40.

Minerals (class or kinds).	Number of leases in force.	Areas covered.	Arrear demand.					Arrear collections.					Balance (column 8 — column 13).
			Dead rent.	Surface rent.	Royalty.	Other receipts, if any.	Total.	Dead rent.	Surface rent.	Royalty.	Other receipts, if any.	Total.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
		Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Coal	12	10,076	1,875	...	...	8	1,883	948	...	...	8	956	927
Mica	4	130,323·57	200	...	1,301	...	1,501	200	...	...	...	200	1,301
Lime stone	3	663	...	101	3,195	...	3,296	...	...	3,195	...	3,195	101
All minerals	3	331,220	...	...	2,700	...	2,700	...	...	...	...	...	2,700
Total ...	22	472,282·57	2,075	101	7,196	8	9,380	1,148	...	3,195	8	4,351	5,029

Minerals (class or kinds).	Current demand.					Current collections.					Balance (column 19 — column 24).	Remarks.
	Dead rent.	Surface rent.	Royalty.	Other receipts, if any.	Total.	Dead rent.	Surface rent.	Royalty.	Other receipts, if any	Total.		
1	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Coal	10,530	...	{ 15,037 1,07,092 }	...	{ 25,567 1,07,092 }	9,296	...	{ 15,037 1,07,092 }	...	{ 24,333 1,07,092 }	1,234	
Mica	3,200	...	70,872	...	74,072	3,200	...	68,954	...	72,154	1,918	
Lime stone	...	121	26,153	...	26,274	...	100	(a) 24,738	...	24,838	1,436	
All minerals	...	...	900	...	900	...	...	...	...	...	900	
Total ...	13,730	121	{ 1,12,962 1,07,092 }	...	{ 1,26,813 1,07,092 }	13,496	100	{ 1,08,720 1,07,093 }	...	{ 1,21,325 1,07,093 }	5,488	

(a) Includes Rs. 4,536 as advance payment.

APPENDIX VII.

[VIDE PARAGRAPH 23 OF THE TEXT.]

Return of Prospecting Licenses in force in Wards and Encumbered Estates during the year 1939-40.

Minerals (class or kind).	Number of licenses in force.	Total area covered.	Arrear demand.				Arrear collections.				Balance (column 7 — column 11).	Current demand.				Current collections.				Balance (column 16—column 20).
			Fees under rule 30(ii), Chapter IV, Waste Lands and Mineral Concessions Manual.	Royalty under rule 30 (iii), Chapter IV, Waste Lands and Mineral Concessions Manual.	Other receipts, if any.	Total.	Fees under rule 30(ii), Chapter IV, Waste Lands and Mineral Concessions Manual.	Royalty under rule 30(iii), Chapter IV, Waste Lands and Mineral Concessions Manual.	Other receipts, if any.	Total.		Fees under rule 30(ii), Chapter IV, Waste Lands and Mineral Concessions Manual.	Royalty under rule 30(iii), Chapter IV, Waste Lands and Mineral Concessions Manual.	Other receipts, if any.	Total.	Fees under rule 30(ii), Chapter IV, Waste Lands and Mineral Concessions Manual.	Royalty under rule 30(iii), Chapter IV, Waste Lands and Mineral Concessions Manual.	Other receipts, if any.	Total.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	[illegible]
		Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	[illegible]
Mica ...	1	98	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	147	...	...	147	147	...	..	147	[illegible]
Total ...	1	98	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	147	...	...	147	147	...	...	147	[illegible]

APPENDIX VIII.

Statement showing mutations in the tenants' rights for the year 1939-40.

Division and District.	Estate.	Total area of the estate, in acres.	Total number of tenants.	Number of mutations registered. Sale. Whole. (a)	Sale. Part. (b)	Succession. Whole. (a)	Succession. Part. (b)	Partition. Whole. (a)	Partition. Part. (b)	Gift. Whole. (a)	Gift. Part. (b)	Total. Whole (a)	Total. Part (b)	Percentage of mutation to total number of tenants (columns 9a and 9b, on column 4).	Total area in acres transferred.	Average price per acre of land sold.
1	2	3	4	5		6		7		8		9		10	11	12
																Rs. a. p.
Tirhut Division. Saran ...	Debendra Prasad Jain W.	6,785	7,385	...	1	29	...	...	...	...	...	29	1	·4	...	100 0 0
	Babu Beni Madhab and Krishna Madhab W.	4,554	8,435	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..
	Bahuria Deolochan Kuar W.	4,327	8,106	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	100 0 0
	Total ..	15,666	23,926	...	1	29	...	...	...	...	...	29	1	·13	...	100 0 6
Champaran ...	Bettiah W. (Thika village.)	588,686	104,067	132	559	431	..	73	4	...	2	636	565	2·52	2499·28	52 7 2
	(Kham village.)	909,898	233,815	920	4,473	4,793	...	336	262	41	23	5,990	4,758	6·77	13,222·5[illegible]	102 1 8
	Total ...	1,498,584	337,882	1,052	5,032	5,224	..	309	266	41	25	6,626	5,323	5·49	15,712·56	77 4 5
Darbhanga ...	Jogiara ... T.	167,70	759	18	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	18	...	2·37	8 77	152 0 0
	Total ..	167·79	759	18		...	...	...	...	...	...	18	...	2·37	8·77	152 0 0
	DIVISIONAL TOTAL ...	1,514,417·79	363,507	1,070	5,033	5,253	...	309	266	41	25	6,673	5,324	5·13	15,721·63	110 0 0
Bhagalpur Division. Mongbyr ...	Gidhour W.	329,373	25,904	19	129	...	...	...	..	7	...	26	129	·59	213·10	78 0 0
	Bahadurpur W.	47,783	16,841	29+13	180	125	...	...	60	...	...	167	240	2·4	673·19	98·3
	Shakerpura W.	1,073	552	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	·3	2·8	76·9
	Hussainabad W.	12,986	15,489	3	...	2	...	...	2	...	...	5	2	·04	10·15	107 6 3
	Dhamna W.	38,000	912	2	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	4	·66	4·24	75 0 0
	Total ...	429,215	59,698	66	315	127	...	...	62	7	...	200	377	·96	902·86	·86
Bhagalpur ...	Cleveland T.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...
Purnea ...	Khagra ... W.	750½ sq. miles.	30,678	96	100	115	24	...	...	...	..	211	124	1.09	820	25 0 0
	Umanath ... W	21,734	8,074	40	82	125	...	1	1	1	...	167	83	3·1	275	70 0 0
	Total ...	21,734	38,752	136	182	240	24	1	1	1	...	378	207	1·56	1,095	48 0 0
Santal Parganas.	Nankara Sankara W.	51,712	2,858	...	...	713	...	...	...	...	...	713	...	24·94	...	...
	Total ...	51,712	2,858	...	...	713	...	...	...	...	..	713	...	24·94	...	...
	Divisional Total ...	502,661	101,308	202	497	1,080	24	1	63	8	..	1,291	584	1·85	1,997·86	67 0 0
Chota Nagpur Division. Hazaribagh	1. Salgawan ... E.	4,355·61	365	1	...	4	...	...	...	...	..	5	...	1·31	...	...
	2. Manal ... E.	4,245·55	399	1	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	2	1	0·77	...	...
	3. Asko ... E.	8,451·56	403	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	0·26	...	...
	4. Dhargulli ... E.	55,040·14	2,849	...	1	131	...	...	...	...	...	131	1	4·66	...	...
	5. Marapahari ... E.	10,899·86	1,037	...	1	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	3	0·26	...	...
	6. Dhanwar ... E.	139,687·63	11,083	39	91	143	...	...	...	...	...	182	91	3·47	...	...
	7. Amli ... B.	5,929·35	477	...	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	0·83	...	...
	8. Manjhladih ... E.	8,296·93	558	2	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	0·88	...	...
	9. Sikri ... E.	70,904·58	7,789	22	4	114	8	...	...	...	...	136	12	1·90	...	...
	10. Dadikalan ... E.	70,253·42	3,316	6	2	12	...	...	...	2	...	20	2	6·60	...	...
	11. Karharbari 6 as. E.	13,534·73	1,398	21	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	21	...	1·57	...	...
	12. Kathamdiri ... E.	43,060·83	2,007	3	2	7	3	...	...	...	...	10	5	0·75	...	...
	13. Deotan* ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	14. Hosir II ... E.	14,871·84	733	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	15. Bairia ... W.	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	16. Gawan ... W.	122,246·4	5,336	...	...	20	...	...	3	...	...	20	3	·3	...	...
	Total ...	580,780·07	37,750	96	108	435	13	...	3	2	...	533	122	1·7	...	...

*New estate recently taken under management.

APPENDIX VIII—*concld.*

Statement showing mutations in the tenants' rights for the year ending 31st March 1940—concld.

Division and District.	Estate.	Total area of the estate, in acres.	Total number of tenants.	Number of mutations registered. Sale. Whole. (a)	Sale. Part. (b)	Succession. Whole. (a)	Succession. Part. (b)	Partition. Whole. (a)	Partition. Part. (b)	Gift. Whole. (a)	Gift. Part. (b)	Total. Whole. (a)	Total. Part. (b)	Percentage of mutations to total number of tenants [columns 9(a) and 9(b) on column 4].	Total area in acres transferred.	Average price per acre of land sold.
1	2	3	4	5		6		7		8		9		10	11	12
																Rs. a. p.
Chota Nagpur Division—*concld.* Ranchi ...	1. Tupudana ... E.	5,338	444	5	11	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	11	3·6	30·30	10 0 0
	2. Tamar ... E.	331,220	2,320	10	15	18	...	...	...	...	...	28	15	1·0	168·03	50 0 0
	3. Guru ... E.	3,424	340	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	0·02	0·53	10 0 0
	4. Biru ... E.	606,094	7,095	1	4	52	...	...	...	...	...	53	4	·08	379·90	50 0 0
	5. Bhargaon ... E.	2,683	383	2	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	3	1·03	2·04	10 0 0
	6. Narekela ... E.	3,398	278	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	2	1·4	6·05	10 0 0
	7. Toto ½ B.N.T. E.	10,721	1,040	3	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	5	·07	13·03	10 0 0
	8. Korambe ... E.	28,108	2,137	5	10	82	...	...	...	...	...	87	10	2·6	480·80	60 0 0
	9. Lachragarh ... E.	30,069	1,917	1	...	121	...	...	...	...	...	122	...	6·2	230·83	50 0 0
	10. Nawagarh ... E.	24,993	2,098	5	8	30	...	...	...	...	...	35	8	2·0	245·79	40 0 0
	11. Masmano ... E	18,242	1,545	10	14	6	...	...	...	...	...	16	14	2.8	104·64	32 0 0
	12. Dowaru ... E.	16,167	907	4	8	4	...	...	...	...	...	8	8	1·7	29·15	10 0 0
	13. Jario ... E.	14,617	768	2	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	6	1·0	7·10	10 0 0
	14. Jarakel ... E.	22,098	2,015	2	4	46	...	...	...	...	...	48	4	2·6	540·97	45 0 0
	15. Pandra ... E.	5,095	551	10	14	44	...	...	...	...	...	54	14	11.1	61·08	36 0 0
	16. Barrang ... E.	12,738	1,033	5	7	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	7	1.01	175·21	25 0 0
	17. Gambaria ... E.	12,304	560	1	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	2	·05	28·30	10 0 0
	18. Jonha ... E.	55,855	685	1	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	5	·09	1·43	10 0 0
	19. Buradib ... E.	21,660	767	3	7	16	...	...	...	...	...	19	7	2·9	1·93	10 0 0
	20. Sonahatu ... E.	16,202	1,805	10	23	62	...	...	...	...	...	72	23	5·2	170·89	45 0 0
	21. Siri ... E.	23,263	1,138	3	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	6	0·7	333.96	25 9 0
	22. Taisar ... E.	105,437	3,524	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	·0	18·42	35 0 0
	23. Jamudag ... E.	3,257	625	4	8	9	...	...	...	...	...	13	8	3·3	·54	45 0 0
	24. Lowahatu ... E.	6,259	1,100	5	6	19	...	...	...	...	...	24	6	2·7	164·20	45 0 0
	25. Mardhan ... E.	10,423	450	1	1	30	...	...	...	...	...	31	1	7·1	217·80	50 0 0
	26. Chotkibenra* ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	40 0 0
	27. Bhunro ... E.	12,625	193	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	1·0	2·03	...
	28. Banai ... E.	7,508	250	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	20 0 0
	Total ...	1,409,816	35,951	98	170	539	...	...	...	...	...	637	170	2·2	3,415·94	2 0 0
Palamau ...	1. Chainpur † ... W.	253,000	7,184	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	2. Bisrampur ... E.	100,941	1,480	11	7	...	...	...	...	...	...	11	7	1·2	22·71	...
	3. Sonepura ... W.	260,173	3,530	3	16	...	1	...	...	...	1	3	18	0·7	25·69	...
	4. Untari. E.	303,570	12,422	13	33	68	1	...	...	...	...	81	34	0·9	84·97	...
	5. Khapro ... E.	21,791	837	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	0·3	5·56	...
	6. Tori ... E.	426,116	2,583	6	19	15	7	...	...	...	...	21	26	1·8	81·98	...
	7. Pokhraha ... E.	8,254	200	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	8. Gerusasoti ... E.	9,079	1,061	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	0·1	·65	...
	9. Nagar Pratappur E.	145,073	1,485	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	2	0·2	58·51	...
	10. Barahi ... E.	15,274	545	1	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	3	0·8	...	...
	11. Nawdiha ... E.	7,180	332	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	12. Kanchanpur ... E.	21,671	635	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	13. Haidernagar ... E.	7,673	497	5	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	1	1·2	7·52	...
	14. Garhwa‡ G.P.S. E.	8.301	511	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	15. Garhwa‡ T. S. E.	10,071	634	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	15. Garhwa‡ A.U.S. E.	8,307	590	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	17. Chakla‡ ... E.	29,499	134	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total ...	1,635,973	34,649	44	82	83	9	...	...	...	1	127	92	0·6	292·70	...
Manbhum	1. Barabhum ... W.	410,722·0	6,455	5	27	379	...	...	5	...	...	384	32	6·4	68·68	60 0 0
	2. Katras ... E.	46,903·62	4,699	5	1	160	...	1	...	...	...	166	1	3·5	27·03	125 0 0
	3. Tundi ... E.	97,491·03	4,991	7	5	315	...	...	1	...	...	322	6	6·5	186·29	8 0 0
	4. Baghmundi ... E.	97,068·44	5,695	13	6	292	...	...	...	...	...	305	6	5·4	92·	24 8 0
	5. Bhoramahal ... E.	18,381·0	825	...	...	111	...	...	...	...	...	111	...	13·4	...	...
	Total ...	670,566·08	22,665	30	39	1,257	...	1	6	...	...	1,288	45	6·8	374·0	54 0 0
Singhbhum	1. Anandpur ... W.	120,279·31	2,020	...	1	101	...	...	...	...	...	101	1	5·04	745·57	80 0 0
	2. Charai and Murum ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total ...	120,279·31	2,020	...	1	101	...	...	...	...	...	101	1	5 04	746·57	80 0 0
	Divisional Total ...	44,17,414·46	133,035	268	398	2,415	22	1	9	2	1	2,686	430	2·3	4,829·21	—
	Grand total ...	50,71,493·25	596,910	1,640	5,928	8,748	46	311	338	51	26	10,650	6,338	2·5	22,548·70	177 0 0

*Whole estate under zerpeshgi.
† The estate has been released.
‡ New estates.

APPENDIX IX.

Statement showing the result of inspections of rent-rolls for the year ending 31st March 1940.

Division.	District.	Estate.	Total number of villages in the estate.	Total number of tenants.	Checked by Tahsildars or other subordinate agency. Number of villages.	Number of tenants.	Percentage of tenants checked to total.	Number of unreported mutations detected.	Checked by manager or other superior agency. Number of villages.	Number of tenants.	Percentage of tenants checked to total.	Number of unreported mutations detected.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Tirhut	Saran ...	Debendra Prasad Jain, W. E.	54	7,385	1	17	·2	...	...	...	...	...	
		Babu Beni Madhab and Kishun Madhab. Singh W. E.	318	8,495	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Baharla Deolochan Kuer W. E.	270	8,106	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Total ...	642	23,926	1	17	·07	...	...	...	...	...	
	Champaran ...	Bettiah W. E.	64	104,067	...	...	Thika Villages. ...	...	...	...	...	...	
			1,839	233,815	892	156,213	Kham Villages. 66·61	1,238	587	109,358	46·34	3	
		Total ...	1,903	337,882	892	156,213	46·23	1,238	587	108,358	45·56	3	
	Darbhanga ...	Jogiara T. E. ...	1	759	1	759	100%	...	1	300	39·5	...	
		Total ...	1	759	1	759	100%	...	1	300	39·5	...	
		Divisional Total ...	2,546	362,567	894	156,989	43·29	1,238	588	108,658	29·96	3	
Bhagalpur.	Monghyr ...	Gidhaur W. E.	589	25,904	...	15,379	59·4	Nil	...	82·54	31·86	Nil	
		Bahadurpur W. E.	61	16,841	61	16,841	100	...	61	5,377	20	...	
		Shakerpura W. E.	5	552	5	552	100	...	5	110	19·9		
		Husainabad W. E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Dhamna W. E.	15	912	15	912	100	Nil	5	50	50		
		Total ...	670	44,209	81	33,684	76·19	...	71	11,781	26·65	Nil	
	Bhagalpur ...	Cleveland T. E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Total ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Purnea ...	Khagra W. E.	766	30,678	766	30,678	100	..	339	7,445	24·2	...	
		Umanath W. E.	75	8,074	64	7,580	90	...	75	7,700	9 5	...	
		Total ...	841	38,752	830	38,258	98·72	...	414	15,145	39·08	...	
	Santal Parganas...	Nankar Sankara W.E.	109	2,858	109	2,858	100	...	44	1,552	54 3	...	
		Total ...	109	2,858	109	2,858	100	...	44	1,552	54·3	...	
		DIVISIONAL TOTAL ...	1,620	85,819	1,020	74,800	87·16	...	529	28,478	33·18	...	
Chota Nagpur.	Hazaribagh ...	1. Salgawan ... E.	6	365	5	227	62·1	4	...	...	...	...	
		2. Manai ... E.	16	399	14	327	81·9	...	...	...	...	...	
		3. Asko ... E.	14	403	6	353	87·5	101	5	336	83·3	97	
		4. Dhargulli ... E.	70	2,849	44	1,762	61·8	132	9	1,066	58·4	132	
		5. Marapharl ... E.	15	1,037	15	932	89·8	3	12	501	48 3	3	
		6. Dhanwar ... E.	372	11,083	249	6,646	59·9	216	82	1,058	9·54	82	
		7. Amli ... E.	8	477	5	255	53·4	1	2	105	40·8	1	
		8. Manjhladih ... E.	25	558	19	459	82·2	40	4	117	20·9	40	
		9. Sikri ... E.	70½	7,789	54½	6,194	79·5	90	17	1,407	18·0	70	
		10. Dadikalan... E.	93	2,316	36	2,317	69·8	16	7	425	12·8	4	
		11. Karharbari 6 as. E.	13	1,398	11	442	31·6	...	...	...	...	...	
		12. Kathamdiri E.	80	2,007	41	556	77·5	8	12	395	19·68	4	
		13. Deotan ... E.	14	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	New Estates
		14. Hossair II ... E.	9	733	6	350	47·7	...	...	...	...	...	New Estates
		15. Bairiya W. E.	13	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	New Estates
		16. Gowan W. E.	225	5,336	217	5.129	96·1	...	183	4,127	77·3	...	
		Total ...	1,043½	87,750	722½	26,949	71·3	611	333	10,227	27·0	433	

APPENDIX IX—*concld.*

Statement showing the result of inspections of rent-rolls for the year ending 31st March 1940—concld.

Division.	District.	Estate.	Total number of villages in the estate.	Total number of tenants.	Checked by tahsildars or other subordinate agency. Number of villages.	Number of tenants.	Percentage of tenants checked to total.	Number of unreported mutations detected.	Checked by managers or other superior agency. Number of villages.	Number of tenants.	Percentage of tenants checked to total.	Number of unreported mutations detected.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Chota Nagpur—*concld.*	Ranchi ...	1. Tupudana ... E.	8	444	3	150	33·7	...	2	125	28·2	...	
		2. Tamar ... E.	16	2,320	4	839	36·1	18	4	641	27·6	...	
		3. Guru ... E.	6	340	2	150	44·1	...	2	150	44·1	...	
		4. Biru ... E.	53	7,095	15	850	12·1	...	5	751	10·5	52	
		5. Bhargaon ... E.	6	383	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		6. Narekela ... E.	3	278	1	150	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		7. Toto ½ (R.N.T.) E.	14	1,040	6	601	97·7	...	3	250	24·4	...	
		8. Korambe ... E.	22	2,137	10	540	25·3	82	1	200	93·5	...	
		9. Lachragarh ... E.	20	1,917	10	750	39·1	...	3	800	41·2	121	
		10. Nawagarh ... E.	23	2,098	12	930	44·7	23	2	300	14·7	7	
		11. Masmano ... E.	18	1,545	10	1,241	80·3	6	8	920	58·4	...	
		12. Dowaru ... E.	6	907	4	310	34·6	4	2	251	26·4	...	
		13. Jario ... E.	16	768	6	251	32·6	...	2	195	25·3	...	
		14. Jarakel ... E.	17	2,015	5	671	33·3	19	3	451	22·3	27	
		15. Pandra ... E.	7	581	2	151	25·3	...	3	193	33·2	44	
		16. Barrang ... E.	19	1,033	8	516	49·4	...	4	325	31·4	...	
		17. Gamharia ... E	21	560	4	150	26·7	...	4	150	26·7	...	
		18. Jonha .. E.	7	635	2	250	39·2	...	2	250	39·2	...	
		19. Burhadih ... E.	13	767	7	383	49·9	16	3	225	29·3	...	
		20. Sonahatu ... E.	12	1,805	10	951	52·6	62	2	431	23·8	...	
		21. Siri ... E.	32	1,138	20	750	65·9	...	...	...	...	...	
		22. Taisar ... E.	15	3,534	4	881	25·5	...	...	...	...	...	
		23. Jamodag ... E.	5	625	4	351	58·1	9	2	251	40·1	...	
		24. Lwahatu ... E.	9	1,100	5	350	31·8	19	3	241	21·9	...	
		25. Mardhan ... E.	7	450	4	209	66·4	30	2	150	...	...	
		26. Chhotkibirua ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	Whole estate is under Zarpeshgi.
		27. Bhaunro ... E.	19	196	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	
		28. Bonai ... E.	4	259	2	150	60·0	...	...	...	...	...	
		Total ...	408	35,951	160	12,615	35·0	283	62	7,250	20·1	251	
	Palamau ..	1. Chainpur ... W	168	7,184	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		2. Bisrampur ... E.	153	1,490	47	507	34·0	...	...	...	...	...	
		3. Sonepura ... W.	254	3,530	24	214	6·	...	...	..	...	...	
		4. Untari ... E.	300	12,422	65	2,090	16·8	...	...	...	...	...	
		5. Khapro E	11	837	11	837	100·	...	4	50	5·9	...	
		6. Tori ... E.	328	3,583	143	2,135	59·6	...	..	...	...	...	
		7. Pokhraha ... E.	3	200	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		8. Garnasoti ... E.	12	1,061	12	1,061	100·	...	3	27	2·5	...	
		9 Nagarpratappur E.	106	1,465	94	1,358	94·7	...	...	...	...	...	
		10. Barahi ... E.	18	545	13	424	77·7	...	...	...	...	...	
		11 Nandiha ... E.	30	332	11	156	47·9	...	...	...	...	...	
		12. Kanchanpur ... E.	19	635	18	635	100·	...	5	35	5·5	...	
		13. Haidarnagar ... E.	35	497	15	210	42·2	...	...	...	...	...	
		14 Garhwa (G.I.S.) E.	49	5 1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		15. Garhwa (I. S.) E.	39	694	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		16. Garhwa (A. D. S.) E.	30	590	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		17. Chakla ... E.	15	134	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Total ...	1,621	34,649	456	9,657	27·8	...	12	112	·03	...	
	Manbhum ...	1. Barabhum ... W.	279	6,455	279	6,455	100·	381	70	3,416	53·3	18	
		2. Katras ... E.	170	4,699	170	4,699	100·	150	45	792	16·8	17	
		3. Tundi ... E.	293	4,991	283	4,991	100·	309	160	2,200	41·0	19	
		4. Baghmundi ... E.	126	5,695	126	5,695	100·	311	75	2,000	35·1	10	
		5. Bharambahal ... E.	21	825	21	825	100·	111	7	150	18·1	25	
		Total ..	899	22,665	879	22,665	100·	1,243	357	8,568	37·8	93	
	Singhbhum ...	Anandpur W. E.	139	2,020	31	1,795	88·8	457	11	655	32·4	101	
		Chaira and Manun E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Total ..	139	2,020	31	1,795	88·8	457	11	655	32·4	101	
		Divisional Total ...	3,103½	1,33,035	2,248½	73,681	55·3	2,598	775	26,833	2·1	883	
		Grand Total ...	7,260½	5,8',421	4,162½	3,05,470	52·71	3,836	1,893	1,03,958	28·2	886	

BGP (Bd. of Rev) 55—352—15-2-1941—HCDG

No. 2378—1W—83-R.

GOVERNMENT OF BIHAR.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

RESOLUTION.

Dated Patna, the 16th April 1941.

READ—

The report of the Board of Revenue on the administration of the Wards Encumbered and Trust estates in the province of Bihar for the year 1939-40.

Mr. N. F. Peck, C.B.E., I.C.S., was in charge of the Court of Wards in Bihar throughout the year.

2. The number of estates under the management of the Court of Wards at the beginning of the year was 71. Sixteen new estates were brought under management and three were released during the year. The total number of estates under management at the close of the year was 84.

3. Liabilities for Government revenue and cess were discharged in full by all the estates except Khagra in the district of Purnea, Hussainabad in the district of Monghyr, three estates in Patna, one in the district of Saran and five estates in Chota Nagpur. Of the total demand of Rs. 13,77,967, Rs. 2,84,475 was not paid. The Khagra estate alone was responsible for Rs. 1,91,654. 50·2 per cent of the total demand of the rent and cess due to superior landlords was paid, compared with 54·3 per cent in 1938-39 and 61·2 per cent in 1937-38. Of the unpaid balance of Rs. 1,54,546, Rs. 98,130 was due from Khagra alone. The percentage of total collections on the current demand of rent and cess due to estates decreased from 71·2 in 1938-39 and from 86·6 in 1937-38 to 65·4 during the year under report. The standard of 100 per cent collection was attained in 12 estates against 6 in the previous year.

The outstanding balance of rents and cesses due to all estates increased from Rs. 63,17,585 to Rs. 80,80,986 during the year under report. In the Tirhut Division the balance increased from Rs. 20,55,692 to Rs. 34,21,446. The balance due to the Bettiah estate alone increased from Rs. 20,54,607 to Rs. 32,95,869. This sum includes an amount of Rs. 5 to Rs. 6 lakhs which as a result of the rent reduction and the cancellation of *sharah-beshi* will not be recovered, and a sum of Rs. 5,16,516 which was realised after the close of the year. In Chota Nagpur the arrears represent more than 25 per cent of the current demand in all estates. The report shows that there has been a progressive deterioration in the collection of rents and cesses in all estates. The deterioration has attained alarming dimensions, and vigorous efforts are necessary to check it. The Provincial Government are pleased to observe that the Board has drawn the attention of all District Officers to the low collections, and that it has threatened action against those managers whose work is unsatisfactory.

The aggregate debts of the estates under management increased from Rs. 52,68,193 to Rs. 88,22,159. Several of the insolvent estates have been released, but there are still many estates which are not able to pay their debts according to the scheme. The Khagra estate now has a debt of Rs. 14,48,638 apart from revenue and cess due to Government and rent and cess due to superior landlords. Attempts are still being made to obtain a loan on favourable terms in order to liquidate the debts of the estate.

4. The percentage of the cost of management during the year fell from 12·2 per cent to 10·5 per cent. The standard of 15 per cent was exceeded in the Nankar Sankara estate in Bhagalpur Division and in the Bairia, Pokhraha and Anandpur estates in Chota Nagpur Division. The audit fees continued to be levied on the basis of the daily rates fixed by the Government of India.

5. The expenditure of the estates on schools, dispensaries and charities fell from Rs. 1,73,265 in the previous year to Rs. 1,60,097 during the year

under review. The Bettiah estate spent a sum of Rs. 1,33,948 on these objects compared with Rs. 1,37,221 in the previous year. The medical institutions maintained by the estate continued to be popular and to render valuable services. Two leprosy clinics and a temporary plague hospital were maintained by this estate throughout the year. The estates in the Bhagalpur Division incurred an expenditure of Rs. 17,517 as against Rs. 22,593 in the previous year. Very little was spent on these objects by the estates in the Chota Nagpur Division.

6. In the Bettiah estate the expenditure on agricultural and sanitary improvements amounted to Rs. 2,81,079 against Rs. 4,02,890 in the previous year. No works of improvement were undertaken in the Patna Division. In the Bhagalpur Division Rs. 15,761 was spent compared with Rs. 8,792 in the previous year. The increase of this expenditure in the Gidhaur estate from Rs. 2,820 to Rs. 12,004 is noteworthy. The agricultural farms of the Bettiah estate continued to progress and to yield a profit. The Lalsariyah and Madhopur farms yielded a net profit of Rs. 55,003 and all the farms did valuable work. The Bahadurpur estate distributed seeds to its tenants and entertained a wholetime *kamdar*. Irrigation demonstrations with the power engines of the estate attracted much attention from the cultivators and local zamindars. No work of improvement was undertaken by any other estate in the Bhagalpur division, and little was done in this direction by the estates in Chota Nagpur.

7. The percentage of mutations on the total number of tenancies in the estates in the province was 2·5 against 3·4 in the year preceding. Only three estates attained the standard of 8 per cent.

8. The tours of Managers were generally satisfactory except in a few estates in Chota Nagpur. Government have stressed the importance of more thorough touring by the Managers.

9. The relations between the tenants and the managing agency continued to be satisfactory. In the Bettiah estate several measures were taken to help the tenants. A grant of Rs. 12,500 was made by the estate to victims of fires. Trained men were appointed to instruct people how to save cane from disease, and improved cane seeds were supplied to the tenants. An area of about 10,870 bighas of land continued to be reserved as pasture ground for village cattle. The forests of certain villages of two encumbered estates in Chota Nagpur were reserved under the Indian Forest Act.

10. Satisfactory progress has been made in the education of the wards.

11. The thanks of the Governor are due to the Board of Revenue for the standard of efficiency maintained throughout the year in the administration of the Wards Department.

ORDER.—Ordered that a copy of the resolution be published in the *Bihar Gazette*.

By order of the Governor of Bihar,
R. A. E. WILLIAMS,
Secretary to Government.

MEMO. NO. 2378-R.

Dated Patna, the 16th April 1941.

Copy, with a copy of the report, forwarded to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Bihar, for information.

By order of the Governor of Bihar,
J. D. MISRA,
Assistant Secretary to Government.

SUMMARY FOR GOVERNOR

S. & G. A. D.

bject matter of the Summary

umber of the File to which the Summ